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ABSTRACT: The high sensitivity of localized surface plasmon resonance sensors to the local refractive index allows for the detection of single-molecule binding events. Though binding events of single objects can be detected by their induced plasmon shift, the broad distribution of observed shifts remains poorly understood. Here, we perform a single-particle study wherein single nanospheres bind to a gold nanorod, and relate the observed plasmon shift to the binding location using correlative microscopy. To achieve this we combine atomic force microscopy to determine the binding location, and single-particle spectroscopy to determine the corresponding plasmon shift. As expected, we find a larger plasmon shift for nanospheres binding at the tip of a rod compared to its sides, in good agreement with numerical calculations. However, we also find a broad distribution of shifts even for spheres that were bound at a similar location to the nanorod. Our correlative approach allows us to disentangle effects of nanoparticle dimensions and binding location, and by comparison to numerical calculations we find that the biggest contributor to this observed spread is the dispersion in nanosphere diameter. These experiments provide insight into the spatial sensitivity and signal-heterogeneity of single-particle plasmon sensors and provides a framework for signal interpretation in sensing applications.

1. INTRODUCTION

Noble metal nanoparticles are ideal tools for label-free sensing.1 When metal nanoparticles are excited with a frequency matching the collective resonance of conduction electrons this gives rise to strong scattering and absorption.1,2 The frequency of this localized surface plasmon resonance depends on the material, shape, size, and the refractive index of the environment of the particle.2 In the latter mechanism the particle can act as a sensor for, e.g., molecular binding events that locally change the refractive index resulting in a shift of the plasmon frequency. The exquisite sensitivity allows for single-molecule detection, allowing for the detection of statistical distributions of molecular properties and rare events or molecular configurations.3,4 Labeling of the analyte is not required, as opposed to single-molecule fluorescence techniques,5 eliminating possible alteration of molecular behavior due to fluorescence labeling.6 Importantly, blinking and bleaching are absent because the particle’s plasmon is photostable. Label-free detection of molecular interaction kinetics has been successfully demonstrated using plasmonic nanoparticles,7-13 but the magnitude of the plasmon shift is not easily translated to the number of analytes bound because the shift of the plasmon resonance depends on the location at which the analyte binds.14,15 This resonance shift scales with the overlap integral between the analyte and the locally enhanced field, so the inhomogeneity in the locally enhanced field causes a distribution of plasmon shifts depending on the binding location.16 Moreover, the probability of analyte binding depends on particle geometry and is typically higher at protrusions due to better fluid accessibility, where the local field enhancement is also maximized.13 It is therefore important to understand the dependence of the plasmon shift on binding location to correctly interpret sensor response and convert the signal to an analyte concentration.14,15

To measure both binding location and plasmon shift a correlative approach has been adopted in literature, where the plasmon shift is correlated to atomic force microscopy (AFM) or scanning electron images (SEM) of metallic nanoparticles. The plasmon response of gold colloids binding to a gold crescent-shaped nanoparticle was mapped by positioning the gold colloid near the nanocrescent tip, showing large shifts correspond to high local near-fields.17 For triangular gold nanoparticles it was shown that for anti-IgG the plasmon shift was more than twice as high when binding to the nanoplate edges compared to the flat terrace.18 Correlated SEM and optical measurements on gold spheres bound to gold nanoparticles were also combined with numerical calculations to show how heterogeneity in the constructs influences the spectral response and revealed nanoparticle size to be the dominant variable.19 Sannomiya et al. demonstrated excellent agreement between experiment and theory for single 20 nm DNA-labeled gold nanospheres binding to 100 nm spheres.20 Finally, Garai et al. studied the polarization dependence of the two-photon...
photoluminescence to distinguish between gold nanospheres bound to the side and the tip of a nanorod.23

Beyond nanoplates and nanospheres, gold nanorods have emerged as a promising geometry for plasmon sensing due to their high sensitivity to local refractive index24 and reproducible bulk synthesis.25 Particularly colloidal gold nanorods are attractive because their single-crystalline structure26 minimizes plasmon dephasing by electron scattering, resulting in a narrow and bright longitudinal plasmon resonance.27 Gold nanorods have been employed for detection of streptavidin,8,9,28 antibodies,10,11,29,30 fibronectin,12,28 and thrombin,28 where both ensemble-averaged8,9,28 and single-molecule detection10,13,11 have been demonstrated. For gold nanorods the location-dependent plasmon shift has been mapped on ensembles of polycrystalline particles using polymer masks covering either the particle’s tip or center region31 and for 20 nm SiO2 dots embedded in a polymer film.32 The highest plasmon shift was found for structures fabricated at the nanorod tip, in agreement with numerical calculations.32 However, these were ensemble studies requiring averaging over hundreds of particles to mitigate variations due to differences in particle geometry.31,32 Such ensemble-averaging masks particle-to-particle variations and heterogeneity, which are important contributors to the expected spread in plasmon shift.

Here, we perform a single-particle study in which we elucidate the spatial sensitivity of single-crystal gold nanorods to the binding of a model analyte (small gold spheres). Using a correlative approach combining optical and atomic force microscopy we map the position-dependent plasmon shift of single gold nanorods in response to binding of small gold nanoparticles. We find that the shift of the longitudinal surface plasmon increases when the gold nanosphere binds closer to the tip of the gold nanoparticle, in excellent agreement with the numerical calculations. Because we probe single particles instead of ensembles we gain direct access to heterogeneity in the magnitude of the plasmon shift. We find that the spread in plasmon shift is not dominated by the volume or aspect ratio of the nanorods, but rather by the size-dispersion of the gold spheres. These results imply that this correlated technique is well suited to study location-dependent sensor response to, e.g., optimize site-specific functionalization protocols17 and study location-dependent kinetics.15

2. EXPERIMENTAL AND THEORETICAL METHODS

2.1. Experimental Setup. We used a combined AFM and total internal reflection scattering microscopy setup (Figure 1). The excitation beam was focused on the periphery of the back-focal plane and was totally internally reflected at the glass–water interface. The reflected beam was blocked in the detection path by a half-moon shaped beamblock. The scattered signal was collected by the 100 × 1.49 NA oil immersion objective and imaged on an electron multiplying charged coupled (EMCCD) device (Andor iXon Ultra, Andor Technology Ltd., Northern Ireland). Onto the Nikon Eclipse Ti microscope an AFM stage (Bruker Bioscope Catalyst in peak-force tapping mode) was mounted to enable topographic imaging. ScanAsyst-Air cantilevers were used with a stiffness k of 0.7 N/m and a force of 2 nN was applied. AFM imaging was performed in air after rinsing and drying the sample to reveal the binding location of the gold nanosphere. In Figure 1b a typical scattering image of immobilized gold nanorods is shown with the corresponding AFM image of the indicated 5 × 5 μm2 area shown in Figure 1c. The insets show zooms of the particles where we observe two individual nanorods and a nanorod dimer.

Spectra of single gold nanorods were recorded with hyperspectral microscopy. Using white light illumination with a broadband tungsten-halogen light source (Thorlabs, New Jersey, USA) a series of bandpass filters (10 nm full width at half-maximum and center wavelength ranging from 670 to 890 nm) was inserted into the detection path and images were recorded with an EMCCD camera. For each wavelength channel the scattered intensity was determined by fitting the diffraction limited spots with a 2D Gaussian and correcting for the spectral profile of the light source. The plasmon resonance wavelength and line width were then determined by fitting a Lorentzian function to the scattering intensity as a function of photon energy for each nanoparticle.

Spectra were recorded for all nanorods, where particles with spectra with a broadened line width, i.e., more than two times the standard deviation, or with a non-Lorentzian-shape were discarded as clusters. The variation in scattering intensity we observe in the wide-field images is due to size dispersion (see Figure S1 in the Supporting Information) and particle orientation with respect to the partially polarized evanescent field. In Figure S3 of the Supporting Information the

Figure 1. Experimental setup. (a) Combined AFM and total internal reflection scattering microscopy setup. The light beam was focused at the periphery of the back-aperture which results in total internal reflection from the glass–water interface. A beam block in the detection path prevents the reflected light from reaching the detector. The scattered light from the gold nanoparticles is collected by an oil immersed objective and imaged on an EMCCD camera. The sample is accessible from the top for AFM measurements. (b) Wide-field image of the scattered intensity of gold nanorods immobilized on the coverslip surface. (c) The indicated 5 × 5 μm2 area in part b was imaged with the AFM showing the diffraction-limited spots correspond to two single nanorods and a nanorod dimer.
distribution of LSPR wavelengths, line width and plasmon shift for all particles in a typical field of view is shown. Gaussian fits to these distributions give an average LSPR wavelength of 793 ± 38 nm and a line width of 157 ± 20 meV, corresponding excellently to the numerically calculated values for a 120 nm by 40 nm gold nanorod in water on a glass surface.

Real-time measurements of single 20 nm gold nanospheres binding to the immobilized gold nanorods were performed by illuminating with a fiber-coupled light emitting diode (Thorlabs, New Jersey, USA) with a center wavelength of 780 nm and a line width of 20 meV, corresponding excellently to the numerically calculated values for a 120 nm by 40 nm gold nanorod in water on a glass surface.

2.2. Sample Preparation. Samples were prepared by spin coating 40 × 120 nm² gold nanorods (Nanoseedz, Hong Kong) at 2000 rpm for 2 min onto glass coverslips. Before spin-coating the glass coverslips were sonicated in methanol and UV/ozone-cleaned for 90 min. After spin coating the samples were rinsed with methanol, PBS and water and blown dry under N₂-flow.

To induce gold nanospheres binding to the gold nanorods we used cysteine-cysteine coupling. Cysteine binds covalently to the particles via a gold–thiol interaction. Coupling of spheres to rods was achieved at a pH of 2.3 at which cysteine is zwitterionic and allows for nanosphere-nanorod coupling by electrostatic interactions. The immobilized gold nanorods were first incubated in 100 μM L-cysteine (Sigma-Aldrich) in pH 2.3 Milli-Q water. A solution containing 1.2 nM of 20 nm gold nanospheres (Sigma-Aldrich) was then flown in and incubated for 30 min while a time-trace was recorded. Afterward the sample was flushed with MQ water adjusted to pH 2.3 to wash away unbound gold nanospheres and cysteine. On the basis of the dimensions of two bound cysteine molecules an interparticle spacing of ~1 nm is assumed.

Figure 2. Correlated atomic force microscopy and microsphere binding to individual gold nanorods. From AFM images (a, d, g) the binding position is determined. Insets show the binding position of the nanospheres to the nanorods. In the inset in part g, cross sections along the longitudinal and transversal axis of the nanorod show the dimensions of the gold nanoparticles. The total plasmon shift is extracted from the scattering spectra before and after incubation in gold nanospheres (b, e, h). Monitoring the scattering intensity in time at a single excitation wavelength results in stepwise changes in scattered intensity due to nanosphere binding (c, f, i). On some particles, we observe multiple binding events evidenced by multiple stepwise intensity changes (i).
3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results for three typical nanoparticles are shown in Figure 2. The AFM scans show different heterodimer configurations where the nanorods in Figure 2, parts a and d, have bound a single gold nanosphere, whereas in Figure 2g we observe two bound nanospheres. The corresponding plasmon shifts, as measured from the Lorentzian fit to the spectra, are 10.3 nm (Figure 2b), 8.3 nm (Figure 2e), and 12.7 nm (Figure 2h). The time-traces recorded on the same particles confirm this behavior as the number of observed stepwise changes in intensity matches the number of bound spheres. For approximately 15% of the particles stepwise changes were observed in the scattering intensity time-traces, indicating one or more gold nanosphere binding events.

In Figure 3 the distribution of measured plasmon shifts is shown for nanorods with zero, one and two nanoparticles bound. For particles that show no binding events we find an average plasmon shift of 2.1 \(\pm\) 1.3 nm, which we attribute to additional cysteine binding to the gold nanorods in the time that passes between the acquisition of both scattering spectra. To gain more insight in the distribution of shifts we performed boundary element method (BEM) calculations. The gold nanorod is modeled as a 120 nm long and 40 nm wide spherically capped cylinder, the dimensions as determined from TEM images (Figure S1 in the Supporting Information). The modeled nanosphere has a diameter of 20 nm and was placed at a distance of 1 nm from the gold nanorod corresponding to the length of a cysteine dimer. Calculations were performed for the gold nanorod in water positioned 0.3 nm above a glass substrate.

The measured distribution shown in Figure 3 is in good agreement with the BEM simulations (Figure S6 in the Supporting Information), where we also observe a broad range of shifts up to 15 nm. The largest observed shifts corresponds to nanospheres binding at the tip of the nanorod. In both experiments and theory, we observe an increased probability of small plasmon shifts, which we attribute to the relatively large surface area of the side-faces of the particles.

Both the measured and calculated distribution of shifts show a broad spread, in the next discussion we investigate the origin of this spread. In parts a and b of Figure 4, the electric field amplitude normalized to the incoming field is shown for two heterodimer configurations. The excitation of the longitudinal plasmon in combination with the lightning rod effect results in strong local fields at the nanorod tip compared to the nanorod side. The plasmon shift depends on the overlap integral of the local field and the particle, resulting in larger shifts near the tip. The electric field strength in the gap of the coupled nanosphere and nanorod is also increased at the tip (~270X enhancement) compared to the side (~30X enhancement). In Figure 4c, the calculated plasmon shift is shown for 11 different binding locations at interparticle distances varying from 1–10 nm. Because of the rapidly decaying enhanced field the plasmon shift decreases strongly with distance. This illustrates the importance of thin coatings and small capture molecules on nanoparticle sensors to optimize the shift induced by molecular binding. The plasmon shift strongly decreases as the nanosphere is moved from the tip to the side of the nanorod because of the decreasing near-field intensity. Interesting to note is the influence of the presence of the substrate on the position-dependent plasmon shift. Position “9” and position “11” are identical in the absence of the substrate due to rotational symmetry, but in the presence of the substrate we find a \(\sim\) 10%
result in plasmon shifts approximately 8 nm. From Figure 4, we expect that tip-bound nanospheres will induce a small but nonzero shift that is significantly less than the side-bound nanospheres. In Figure 5a, we see the same trend, where the plasmon shift increases for gold nanospheres binding closer to the nanorod tip as expected from the numerical simulations. Side-bound spheres induce a small but nonzero shift that is caused by the residual field-enhancement present at the side-facets of the rod; see Figure 4. We notably observe a large spread in the measured plasmon shifts with variations of nearly a factor two even for spheres bound at a similar location on the nanorod, e.g. for particles bound around \( s = 40 \) nm.

We now analyze the origin of this spread for which we calculate the expected distribution of plasmon shifts due to the distribution of nanorod and nanosphere dimensions present in the sample. These size-distributions are obtained from TEM images (see Supporting Information) that reveal a certain spread (mean ± standard deviation) in nanorod volume and aspect ratio, and in nanosphere diameter. We then performed BEM simulations for gold nanospheres bound at 10 positions along the gold nanorod at an interparticle distance of 1 nm. We repeated this for different combinations of nanorod and nanosphere dimensions, and nanorod volume, respectively. This defines the lower and upper bounds for the position-dependent plasmon shift, illustrated by the gray shaded area in Figure 5b. A more detailed explanation of the procedure can be found in the Supporting Information.

We find that nearly all measurements fall within the calculated range of plasmon shifts. In Figure 5c, we plot the contribution of nanosphere diameter as the blue shaded area. These simulations reveal that the 10% size-dispersion in nanosphere diameter (18.1 ± 2.1 nm) dominates the observed spread in plasmon shift, whereas the distribution of nanorod volume and aspect ratio contribute significantly less to the distribution of shifts. Interestingly, the distribution of shifts for tip-bound spheres is nearly entirely determined by the distribution of sphere diameters, whereas for side-bound spheres the contribution of nanorod volumes increases. We attribute this effect to the volume-dependence of the near-field intensity (see Figure S5 in the Supporting Information). BEM calculations show that the near-field intensity around the particle decreases with increasing nanorod volume due to radiation damping. This reduction is stronger at the side facets of the particle than around the tips, resulting in a larger relative contribution to the spread in plasmon shift for side-

Figure 5. (a) Binding position along the nanorod \( s \) for a 40 nm wide and 120 nm long nanorod. The gray dashed circles in the cartoon show the binding position of the gold nanospheres at the side (\( s = 0 \) nm) and tip (\( s = 88.7 \) nm). (b) Measured plasmon shifts of single nanosphere binding events as a function of the coordinate \( s \) as measured by AFM (blue open circles). The light gray area indicates the range of shifts (standard deviation) based on the distribution of nanorod and nanosphere dimensions present in the samples, where the gray diamonds are the calculated values for different binding positions along the nanorod. (c) Calculated distribution of plasmon shift due to dispersion of nanosphere diameter (blue shaded area and blue diamonds) and the total distribution of shift due to the distribution of both nanorod and nanosphere dimensions. Deviations from a smooth curve are due to the finite mesh size used in the numerical calculations.
binding (see Figure S5 in the Supporting Information). We note that variations in interparticle distance are not taken into account, however previous EM images of cysteine-coupled gold nanorod–gold nanosphere heterodimers showed a 1 nm interparticle distance estimate to be reliable.17,18

In recent single-molecule plasmon sensing studies, we11 and others17 reported plasmon shifts induced by single proteins to vary widely from binding event to binding event. The origin of the observed spread in molecular sensing experiments remains poorly understood but is critical for the correct interpretation of sensor response. A correlated approach such as the one presented here may be used to directly image the binding position of bound proteins to gain insight into the origins of the spread in plasmon shifts, and provide guidelines on how to minimize this heterogeneity. Although globular proteins show very little size dispersion compared to the nanospheres used here, many proteins (e.g., antibodies11 and fibronectin17) are not globular and thus the plasmon shift will also depend on their orientation with respect to the nanorod.

Not only the plasmon shift is spatially dependent, numerical calculations have recently shown that the binding rate is also spatially heterogeneous for diffusion-limited reactions. The binding rate at protrusions and tips is higher than near the coverslip surface due to fluid accessibility, and this heterogeneity becomes more pronounced for sharper tips and higher aspect ratios.15 For the nanorods used in our experiments, the binding probability is predicted to be ~25% higher at the nanorod tip compared to the side.15 This means that knowledge of the binding location is not only required to interpret the amplitude of a sensor signal, but also to determine the kinetic constants of the biomolecular interaction.

In practical sensing implementations it is not feasible to measure the binding location of each detected protein. In contrast, site-specific functionalization protocols may be advantageous to functionlize specific regions on the particle where the sensitivity to binding is maximized.17,18 Qualitative measurements of their efficacy have been performed,17 but the quantitative effect on the heterogeneity in signal amplitude and kinetics is unknown. A correlated approach such as the one presented here may shed light on the origins of observed heterogeneity to allow for the tailored design and optimization of single-molecule sensors.

4. CONCLUSIONS

We have employed correlative microscopy to correlate the plasmon shift to binding position and demonstrated this for the first time on single gold nanorods. We have shown that the measured plasmon shifts fall within the expected range calculated from BEM simulations. The dominant contributor to the spread in plasmon shifts is the dispersion in size of the gold nanospheres, in combination with smaller contributions of dispersion in nanorod volume and aspect ratio. In the future correlated microscopy can be applied to evaluate efficacy of site-specific functionalization protocols, which will contribute to the improvement of single-molecule sensors.
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