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Catalytically Active Single-Chain Polymeric Nanoparticles: Exploring Their Functions in Complex Biological Media


ABSTRACT: Dynamic single-chain polymeric nanoparticles (SCPNs) are intriguing, bioinspired architectures that result from the collapse or folding of an individual polymer chain into a nanometer-sized particle. Here we present a detailed biophysical study on the behavior of dynamic SCPNs in living cells and an evaluation of their catalytic functionality in such a complex medium. We first developed a number of delivery strategies that allowed the selective localization of SCPNs in different cellular compartments. Live/dead tests showed that the SCPNs were not toxic to cells while spectral imaging revealed that SCPNs provide a structural shielding and reduced the influence from the outer biological media. The ability of SCPNs to act as catalysts in biological media was first assessed by investigating their potential for reactive oxygen species generation. With porphyrins covalently attached to the SCPNs, singlet oxygen was generated upon irradiation with light, inducing spatially controlled cell death. In addition, Cu(I)- and Pd(II)-based SCPNs were prepared and these catalysts were screened in vitro and studied in cellular environments for the carbamate cleavage reaction of rhodamine-based substrates. This is a model reaction for the uncaging of bioactive compounds such as cytotoxic drugs for catalysis-based cancer therapy. We observed that the rate of the deprotection depends on both the organometallic catalysts and the nature of the protective group. The rate reduces from in vitro to the biological environment, indicating a strong influence of biomolecules on catalyst performance. The Cu(I)-based SCPNs in combination with the dimethylpropargyloxycarbonyl protective group showed the best performances both in vitro and in biological environment, making this group promising in biomedical applications.

INTRODUCTION

Single-chain polymeric nanoparticles (SCPNs) are nanometer-sized objects obtained by controlling the global conformation of single polymer chains into well-defined, compartmentalized structures. Nature represents a great source of inspiration for such architectures that aim to mimic the structural complexity as well as the functionality of the tertiary structure of proteins. A variety of synthetic approaches have been evaluated in order to obtain well-defined SCPNs. The choice of the backbone, solubilizing pendant groups, and the functionalities responsible for the intrachain collapse of folding are all crucial for the development of effective SCPNs in a desired application. A key factor is the chemical moieties triggering the collapse/folding of the polymer backbone. In this framework two main approaches have been proposed: (i) the covalent (reversible or irreversible) cross-linking of chemical groups and (ii) the use of reversible, supramolecularly interacting, pendant groups. Notably, directional hydrogen-bond formation has been exploited to reversibly “lock” a flexible polymer into a specific conformation, which is often referred to as a “folding” process because of the reminiscence in which a polypeptide folds into α-helical and β-sheet structures. The intrinsic reversibility of hydrogen-bond interactions results in thermodynamically controlled formation of SCPNs, which are referred to as dynamic SCPNs because they can adapt to changes in the environment (temperature, pH, solvent). The choice of the folding strategy has a strong influence on the properties of the nanoparticles, e.g., on the particle stability and formation of multichain aggregates. A balanced choice of chemical structure and its folding processing steps are required to arrive at the required SCPN as pathway complexity very easily can give rise to instabilities and aggregation.

In the past decade, SCPNs have been evaluated for a variety of applications in the field of catalysis, material chemistry, imaging and sensing. Their small size (5–10 nm) and ease of functionalization are among the most attractive features that motivate the use of these polymeric architectures. These same features are attractive for the development of biomedical materials and systems, but biological applications of SCPNs are...
One of the main reasons is a paucity in our knowledge on the behavior and stability of dynamic SCPNs in complex biological environments. This imposes challenges on the design of structures able to match the strict requirements of biological applications in terms of stability, toxicity, selective delivery, and performance.

In the past years, efforts in our group have been devoted to design dynamic SCPNs that are able to fold and perform a function, e.g., catalysis or sensing, in water. Recently, we introduced Pd-catalysts and singlet-oxygen generation porphyrins in water-soluble SCPNs and showed their folding and properties in aqueous media. In a next step, we became interested in evaluating how far SCPNs can affect the stability of the metal complex in cellular media and, at the same time, if the localization of the catalyst in the complex environment can be controlled. Here, we take this next step by performing catalysis in complex cellular environments using these nanoparticles. Performing bio-orthogonal chemistry and, more recently, bio-orthogonal catalysis inside living systems has attracted much attention. Although the presence of amino acids, enzymes and glutathione poses challenges on the activity and stability of transition-metal-based catalysts, both the use of small transition-metal-based complexes and metal-based nanoparticles/microspheres have become promising approaches to convert artificial substrates inside living cells or in the extracellular environment. In particular, depropargylation reactions have been investigated as a way of in vivo deprotection of active moieties, e.g., prodrug activation. Moreover, the synthesis of materials able to catalytically generate singlet oxygen is of great interest for applications in photodynamic therapy (PDT).

Here we present a biophysical study of SCPN behavior in living cells and an evaluation of their performances in catalysis in complex biological environments. In our design (Figure 1), the SCPNs comprise a polyacrylamide-based backbone functionalized with (i) water-soluble side chains (oligo-(ethylene oxide-co-propylene oxide) of DP = 22, Jeffamine@M-1000) to ensure water solubility; (ii) benzene-1,3,5-tricarboxamide (BTA) supramolecular moieties in order to trigger hydrogen-bonding-induced polymer folding and (iii) catalytically active sites. We first assess and optimize delivery strategies in order to target different compartments: the intracellular space, the cytoplasm or the endolysosomal compartment, and the extracellular space. This allows us to choose the delivery strategy depending on the desired applications. Next, we evaluate two activities to be performed in the cellular environment as shown in Scheme 1: (i) the photocatalytic generation of singlet oxygen from molecular oxygen using A3B porphyrin moieties developed in our laboratory and (ii) a catalytic cleavage of protective groups mediated by organometallic complexes based on Cu(I) and Pd(II). Both approaches give promising results, paving the way toward catalysis in vivo for biomedical applications. Moreover, our biophysical studies of SCPN behavior in living cells provides crucial information and will enable the rational design of improved nanosystems for catalysis-based therapies.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Polymer Design. A small library of polymers varying in functionalization (Scheme 1) was designed and synthesized. A detailed description of the synthesis procedures and molecular characterization of the polymers is given in the Supporting Information (Schemes S1 and S2, Figures S1–S5). Typically, all polymers comprise around 10% BTA units and 80–90% water-soluble Jeffamine@M-1000, with degree of polymerization ranging from 120 to 150. P1 and P2 comprise 6% bipyridine or 8% phenantroline ligands to bind with Pd(II) and Cu(I), respectively. Phenantroline is well-known to bind Cu(II)
and accelerate azide–alkyne cycloaddition reactions upon reduction of Cu(II) to Cu(I) in situ. In addition, bipyridine complexes with Pd(II) have been studied extensively and were found to be active in a variety of catalytic reactions. The binding of Pd(II) to the bipyridine ligands in P1 and binding of Cu(II) to the phenanthroline ligands in P2 were checked by UV−vis spectroscopy. Upon addition of metal ions, clear red shifts in the absorption of the ligands were observed, suggesting the formation of the organometallic complex (Figure S2). The effect of the presence of metals on polymer chain folding via BTA aggregation was evaluated by circular dichroism spectroscopy. The Cotton effects were not significantly affected by the presence of the metal indicating that metal complexation does not interfere with BTA aggregation in these systems (Figures S3 and S4). This is in line with previous observations in similar polymers. In addition, the predominantly single chain character of the formed particles before and after metal complexation was verified by dynamic light scattering, respectively (Figure S5).

We previously showed that polymer P3, which comprises 2% of porphyrin moieties, is active in photosensitization. The formation of SCPNs in aqueous solution was shown to isolate the porphyrin moieties, preventing undesired porphyrin aggregation. Hereby, the photocatalytic efficiency in singlet oxygen generation was promoted. Polymers with covalently attached fluorescent dyes (1% Texas Red in P1 and 2% naphthalimide-based fluorophore in P4, Scheme 1) were prepared, to allow their visualization with confocal microscopy. In the case of P4, the solvatochromic character of the naphthalimide-based fluorophore is useful to obtain information on the polarity of the environment.

**Delivery Strategies for SCPNs.** Three delivery strategies shown in Figure 2 were evaluated to selectively localize SCPNs based on P1 (without metals) in the desired cellular compartment by tracking the emission of Texas Red. First, administration of SCPNs in the medium at high concentration (2.5 mg mL\(^{-1}\)) was used to deliver SCPNs into HeLa cells via an endocytic route. Although the oligo(ethylene oxide)-based pendants of the polymers reduce the interactions with cells,
accumulation of SCPNs was observed slowly over time, displaying the characteristic vesicular localization of the endolysosomal system (see Figure 2A). This behavior was also observed when working with other cell lines as shown in Figure S6. The slow internalization is not surprising; many reports describe cell internalization of PEGylated particles of similar size, which eventually accumulate in the lysosome.\(^{21}\) To confirm the lysosomal localization, a colocalization assay with lysosome markers was performed. Figure S7 shows a high degree of colocalization of SCPNs with lysosensor after 24 h,
confirming our hypothesis. Lysosomal localization has been observed for a variety of nanoparticles used for drug delivery and the low pH of this organelle can be exploited by pH-responsive materials.\(^{22}\)

Although lysosomal localization could be interesting in the context of delivery, the SCPNs cannot reach most of the cellular structure. Therefore, we followed a second delivery strategy based on electroporation. Electroporation, the temporary permeabilization of a cell membrane with electric pulses, is a standard technique for the cytosolic delivery of biological macromolecules, e.g., DNA for transfection,\(^{23}\) and its use for synthetic polymers and particles has recently been reported.\(^{22b,24}\) Here we apply this technique to deliver SCPNs based on P1 into the cytosol of HeLa cells. Figure 2B shows the localization of SCPNs following electroporation. The SCPNs are homogeneously distributed inside the cytoplasm but excluded entirely from the nucleus. This finding is similar to what has been reported for dendrimers and recombinant proteins, and not surprising taking the size of SCPNs into account, which exceeds the size of the nuclear pore.\(^{25}\) The perfectly homogeneous distribution inside the cytosol is a good indication that the oligo(ethylene oxide)-based chains are able to shield the hydrophobic core effectively, preventing interactions with cellular membranes and improving SCPN stability in the cellular media.

Last, we investigate the possibility to use SCPNs in the extracellular space. This is of interest for a variety of pharmaceutical applications where drug release from prodrug activation should happen at the tissue level, i.e., outside cells. Figure 2C shows the extracellular localization of P1-based SCPNs administered in the medium. Due to the shielding of the oligo(ethylene oxide)-based chains, the interactions with cell membrane are minimized and the SCPNs mostly localize in the extracellular space for several hours at the concentration (1 mg mL\(^{-1}\)) used. The balance between endocytic internalization and permanence in the extracellular space can thus be tuned by polymer concentration and internalization time. Importantly, this behavior is not affected if the SCPNs are complexed with the metal, as shown in Figure S8. If desired, the SCPNs can be functionalized with cell penetrating peptides or with surface charge. This will promote cell internalization.\(^{26a,b}\) In contrast, a more effective shielding will expand the lifetime in the extracellular space.\(^{26c,d}\)
Biocompatibility and Folding State of SCPNs. Having developed reliable procedures for selective cell delivery, we evaluated the biocompatibility of the SCPNs in the three compartments studied. A live/dead assay using calcein AM/propidium iodide was performed to assess the toxicity after cytosol, lysosome and extracellular space delivery.27 Figure 3A,B shows the cell viability of untreated cells (green bars) and cells after administration of P1-based SCPNs in the lysosome and extracellular space at different concentrations (red bars). No significant changes in cell viability were observed when P1 was delivered via endocytosis or incubated for 3 h in the extracellular space. This indicates that the SCPN architectures are not toxic, most probably due to the oligo(ethylene oxide)-based layer preventing harmful cell–material interactions.

The cytosolic delivery deserves a separate discussion. The electroporation procedure is intrinsically harmful for cells and the toxicity due to the delivery procedure should be disentangled from the possible toxicity due to the SCPNs. Figure 3C shows confocal imaging of cells electroporated with P1-based SCPNs and treated with the live/dead reagent: green cells are viable cells, red cells are nonviable and the SCPN signal is reported in blue. The image clearly shows a noticeable layer of dead cells. This layer coincides with the donut-shaped signal is reported in blue. The image clearly shows a noticeable layer of dead cells. This layer coincides with the donut-shaped signal. The SCPN-protected rhodamine (S1) and the open rhodamine (S2) are added (Reaction conditions: concentration of substrate (S1/S2) = 30 μM; P1@Pd(II): [Bipy] = 60 μM; [Na2PdCl4] = 50 μM; P2@Cu(I): [Phen] = 66.7 μM; [CuSO4] = 33.3 μM; [phen:Cu] = 2:1; [NaAsc] = 1 mM). The conversion was monitored by fluorescence detection of the product MC-Rh 110 at different time intervals. (D) Histogram summarizing the fluorescence intensity of MC-Rh 110 after 2 h of reaction for different catalysts, substrates and controls.

Figure 5. Results for the deprotection reactions of protected rhodamines by SCPN-based catalysts in the presence of HeLa cells. (A) Chemical structures of the substrates S1–S4 used in this study. (B,C) Kinetic profile of the deprotection reaction of S2 (B) and S1 (C) with SCPNs based on P2@Cu(I) and P1@Pd(II) followed for 2 h in the presence of cell medium and HeLa cells. As a control, the activity of ‘free’ metal catalysts (Cu(I) and Pd(II)) and no catalyst in the deprotection of S1 and S2 are added. (Reaction conditions: concentration of substrate (S1/S2) = 30 μM; P1@Pd(II): [Bipy] = 60 μM; [Na2PdCl4] = 50 μM; P2@Cu(I): [Phen] = 66.7 μM; [CuSO4] = 33.3 μM; [phen:Cu] = 2:1; [NaAsc] = 1 mM). The conversion was monitored by fluorescence detection of the product MC-Rh 110 at different time intervals. (D) Histogram summarizing the fluorescence intensity of MC-Rh 110 after 2 h of reaction for different catalysts, substrates and controls.
affected by cell internalization at any concentration studied, indicating minimal perturbation of the functional unit.

Figure 4B reports a live/dead test assessing the toxicity in the dark as well as the phototoxicity after 403 nm irradiation of SCPNs based on P3 compared with a control performed by a Texas red functionalized SCPN of P1. A minimal toxicity was observed for the nonactive SCPN (P1) that can be associated with the UV exposure alone. On the contrary, the porphyrin-based SCPN (P3) induces significant cell death after irradiation, which is in agreement with its ability to generate singlet oxygen. We further investigated the performance of P3 to induce light-mediated cell death. Figure 4C shows the cell viability in the irradiated area at different concentrations of the particle and different light doses. Up to 0.05 mg mL\(^{-1}\) no cell death is observed after irradiation at all light dose. On the contrary at 0.1 and 0.5 mg mL\(^{-1}\), the intracellular concentration of SCPNs is sufficient to induce toxicity in a light-dependent manner. At 1 and 2.5 mg mL\(^{-1}\), complete cell death was observed at all light dose studied. This is further corroborated by the live/dead assay in Figure 4D, which shows an analogous trend. These measurements indicate that SCPNs internalized in cells generate singlet oxygen which induces cell death. Therefore, SCPNs are effective carriers of single-oxygen generators, paving the way toward their use as PDT agents.

**Catalysis in Complex Media with SCPNs.** Finally, we investigated the ability of metal-loaded SCPNs to catalyze chemical reactions in biological media. P1 and P2 contain bipyridine or phenanthroline ligands that can bind to Pd(II) or Cu(I) ions to form organometallic catalytically active sites. In all catalysis experiments, we applied the complex formed by P1 and Na\(_2\)PdCl\(_4\) directly, whereas the complex formed by P2 and CuSO\(_4\) was reduced in situ by sodium ascorbate (NaAsc). The catalysis experiments were performed with low concentrations of P1 and P2 (1 mg mL\(^{-1}\)) and short incubation times to limit uptake of the polymers by endocytosis. This favors catalysis occurring in the extracellular environment. We focus on metal-catalyzed carbamate cleavage reactions to evaluate these SCPN-based catalysts inspired by the reported bio-orthogonality of these reactions\(^{13a,c,14c,d}\) and the possibility to design catalyst-triggered anticancer drugs based on these protective groups.\(^{15}\) A series of fluorogenic substrates S1-S4 were designed and synthesized as shown in Figure 5A, which all comprise one carbamate protected amine.\(^{13a,b,15a}\) S1-S4 are nonemissive molecules, however, cleavage of the carbamate-based protection group results in the highly fluorescent product-molecule morpholinecarbonyl rhodamine 110 (MC-Rh 110).\(^{30}\) Apart from the previously used propargyloxycarbonyl (S2) and allyloxycarbonyl (S4) groups, we also include the dimethylpropargyloxycarbonyl group (S1), which was recently predicted to have potential in bio-orthogonal cleavage reactions as it hydrolyzed in the presence of Cu(I) instead of undergoing a "click" reaction with azides.\(^{13b,31}\) We also include a protective group comprising an inner alkyne (S3).
An in vitro study showed that P1@Pd(II) and P2@Cu(I) are effective catalysts in deprotecting S1 and S2 (Figures S11 and S12), with the deprotection of S1 catalyzed by P2@Cu(I) showing the fastest rate. In addition, the SCPN-based catalysts showed much higher turnovers than the corresponding small molecule metal–ligand complexes BiPy@Pd(II) and Phen@Cu(I). In fact, we found that the local medium effect of SCPNs, such as accumulation of hydrophobic substrates and catalytically active sites, significantly promotes their catalytic performance. On the basis of the in vitro study, we here focus on P1@Pd(II) and P2@Cu(I) for further evaluation in cellular environments.

Before performing the catalysis experiments in cells, we assessed that the SCPNs complexed with the metals do not exert toxicity to the HeLa cells. The SCPNs were complexed with their respective metals (P1@Pd(II) and P2@Cu(I)) and incubated in full medium with cells for 4 and 24 h. As can be seen in Figure S13, evaluation of viability of HeLa cells using the Presto Blue assay shows that the catalytic system P1@Pd(II) and P2@Cu(I) does not show cytotoxicity, also not when only the metals salts are applied. The toxicity was also evaluated in the presence of the different substrates, which showed that there was no negative effect of the whole catalyst/substrate system on the cells.

Having established that metal-based SCPNs are not toxic for HeLa cells, we proceeded with catalysis studies. HeLa cells were incubated with the catalysts (P1@Pd(II) or P2@Cu(I)) and one of the S1−S4 substrates for 2 h in the presence of the cell medium (DMEM supplemented with serum); the results are shown in Figure S8B,C (S1, S2) and Figure S14 (S3, S4). Figure S8B,C shows that both catalysts are able to convert S1 and S2 into the fluorescent product MC-Rh 110 while all the controls tested (only metal salt as the catalyst, no catalyst) do not show a significant activity. A number of interesting observations can be made. First, when applying the copper-based catalyst P2@Cu(I) the fluorescence intensity increases much faster and to a higher level for both S1 and S2 than when using the palladium-based P1@Pd(II). The deprotection of the dimethylpropargyl-oxycarbonyl group in S1 is known to occur fast in the presence of Cu(I),31b in aqueous solutions but has not been investigated in complex biological media. Second, S3 and S4 (Figures S14) show a limited conversion with P2@Cu(I) and no conversion for P1@Pd(II). Most likely, the terminal alkene in S4 and methyl acetylene group in S3 cannot bind as effectively to Cu(II)/Pd(II) as a result of the deprotection mechanism. This is postulated to occur via a terminal alkyne, which is the case of S3 is not feasible.31a Interestingly the catalysts also have different preferences for substrates: P2@Cu(I) performs best with S1 while P1@Pd(II) has a slight preference for S2. Therefore, a clear trend (S1 > S2 > S3 > S4) is present for copper and S2 > S1 > S3 = S4 for palladium, as highlighted by the histogram in Figure 5D. The results clearly show that the deprotection reaction using SCPNs is feasible in such complex biological media, albeit less efficient than when the deprotection is performed in PBS only (Figure S15).

For the two best performing catalyst/substrate pairs, we repeated the kinetic measurements for a longer time (up to 60 h) in cells with DMEM medium or in DMEM medium only. Figure 6 shows the results of the development of the fluorescence over 48 h for P2@Cu(I) with S1 (Figure 6A) and P1@Pd(II) with S2 (Figure 6B). Both catalysts perform very well compared to all the controls tested and show a significant increase in fluorescence intensity, which reaches a plateau in about 24 h for copper and 48 h for palladium. The findings are further supported by fluorescence microscopy that allows to visualize the conversion of the substrates into MC-Rh 110. The formed MC-Rh 110 diffuses through the cell membranes and thus shows fluorescence inside the cells (Figure 6C,D). Although the differences in mitochondrial activity are small (Figure S13), the cell morphology changed when using Cu(I) as the catalyst compared to Pd(II), indicating an onset of toxicity when using Cu-based catalysts. Interestingly, no appreciable difference is found between the sample containing cells and the one with medium only, indicating that the presence of the cells does not affect catalytic performance.

The investigations presented here are primarily aimed at achieving a rational understanding of the catalyst behavior and activity in the presence of biological molecules. The results shown above clearly indicate that Pd(II)- and Cu(I)-based SCPNs are capable of deprotecting S1 and S2 into MC-Rh 110 in the presence of cells. We also identified the complexities involved. Figure 7 summarizes the effect of medium and medium with cells on the activity of SCPNs in the depropargylation of S1 and S2 compared to the activity in PBS buffer. The plateau of the fluorescence intensity that is reached after 24 h in the presence of medium is at a lower level than when performing the catalysis in PBS. This suggests that the performance of the SCPN-based catalysts is negatively affected by the presence of serum proteins present in the DMEM medium. Interestingly, the additional presence of cells has no further negative effect on the fluorescence intensity reached after 24 h. This can be rationalized by two factors (or a combination of the two): (i) the sequestration of hydrophobic substrates by serum protein binding and (ii) the serum proteins inactivate the catalyst. The fact that the catalyst in DMEM reaches a plateau before full conversion is reached, seems to indicate that the catalyst loses efficacy over time. Although little has been reported to date on the long-term stability of small transition-metal-based catalysts in serum or cellular media, it is likely that in previously reported examples, the metals also become sequestered by reactive groups present on the protein surfaces over time. In addition, Figure 7 shows that the metal-catalyzed deprotection reaction of both propargyl-protected
substrates in the absence of the SCPNs is more prominent in PBS buffer than in DMEM with or without HeLa cells. This indicates that the activity of the catalyst inside the SCPN is significantly enhanced in cellular media compared to that of the metal salt. Finally, hydrolysis of the substrates in the absence of any catalyst is more significant in the biological environment than in PBS. Nonmetal-catalyzed hydrolysis can become an issue at longer time scales in biological media.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In this work, we show the results of a biophysical study of dynamic single-chain catalytic polymeric nanoparticle activity in a biological environment. Several administration strategies were successfully developed, allowing the delivery of SCPNs in the extracellular space, in the endolysosomal compartment, and in the cytosol. The SCPNs, even those comprising transition-metal-based catalysts, showed excellent biocompatibility and did not show significant toxicity toward cells. In addition, evidence is presented that the dynamic SCPNs create a rather stable environment for the catalytic species.

Two strategies to assess the viability of the use of SCPNs in complex biological media were investigated. Irradiation with light successfully generated singlet oxygen and promoted light-induced localized toxicity when porphyrin-based SCPNs were introduced via endocytosis into cells. This makes these SCPNs highly interesting for future applications in photodynamic therapy. In addition, Pd(II) and Cu(I) loaded metal-based SCPNs showed an efficient depolyrargylation of protected rhodamine in the extracellular space. Notably, Cu(I)-based SCPNs in combination with a dimethylpropargyloxycarbonyl protective group gave a fast rate, with a saturation in fluorescence reached after 24 h. The product formed is capable to cross the cell membrane, which is promising in view of prodrug activation in tissue: SCPNs would only have to be delivered locally, not intracellularly, to achieve the desired prodrug to drug catalysis.

Our detailed study on the different factors affecting catalysis with SCPNs also revealed the challenges that need to be addressed to rationally design catalysts with potential for in vivo applications. Very high turnovers of the catalysts may compensate for this, but a better protection of the catalyst within the hydrophobic core of the particle could also help to increase the efficacy of the catalyst. For this reason, new SCPN-based catalysts with better shielding of the metal-complex and improved stability and activity are a necessary follow up of this work. Moreover, more selective substrates that maximize the interactions with the SCPNs versus other biological molecules have to be developed. Finally, the hydrolytic stability of the protective group has to be taken in account.

The development of catalytic systems that are able to perform their functions in living cells has been a subject of interest for many years. In the field of synthetic biology and therapeutic biomaterials, the use of artificial enzyme-like particles in the cellular environment is a frontier of the research in catalysis with potential applications in chemical biology and cancer therapy. However, the rational design of such structures is a huge chemical challenge, mostly due to the lack of knowledge on the behavior of catalytic systems in the complex biological environment and therefore on their structure—activity relations. Therefore, the biophysical study presented here, sheds light on the behavior of SCPNs in the cellular environment, paving the way toward the rational design of nanosystems that are able to perform effective catalysis in vivo.
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