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Abstract—In this paper an add-on control method is proposed to damp oscillations in the LCL filter of a grid-connected converter. An observer is used to estimate the capacitor current, and successively, a conventional active damping technique is applied. Since the capacitor current is estimated from already available measurements, the proposed active damping method does not need an extra current sensor for the capacitor current. Model analysis and simulation validate the effectiveness of the proposed control method.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Pulse width modulation (PWM) converters are widely applied to interface sustainable energy sources, the public grid and local loads [1]–[4]. Because of their good trade-off between attenuating performance and the filter size, LCL filters are widely employed to attenuate PWM switching frequency harmonics [5], [6]. However, the LCL filter also introduces unwanted oscillations at its resonance frequency. Passive damping [7] can reduce these oscillations efficiently but is undesired since it comes at the cost of reduced power efficiency. Active damping [8], [9] is more advantageous because no actual power losses are generated.

Most active damping techniques need the measurements of the capacitor current or voltage, which adds to the system’s total hardware cost. In this paper an observer-based active damping technique is presented. It estimates the capacitor current from the LCL filter model and the already existing measurements of the converter output current and the output voltage. Conventional capacitor current based active damping techniques can then be applied to damp the filter’s resonance.

II. OBSERVER-BASED ACTIVE DAMPING

A simplified model for a single-phase grid connected converter with LCL filter is shown in Fig. 1, where the proposed add-on active damping technique is indicated in the dashed box. The converter output current and the voltage at the point of common connection (pcc) are used for the capacitor current estimation. Additionally, a high-pass filter (HPF) is applied. This section introduces the algorithm of the proposed damping method.

A. Modelling

The LCL filter dynamics in Fig. 1 are described by three differential equations, given by

\[
\begin{align*}
L_1 \frac{d}{dt} i_1(t) &= -R_1 i_1(t) - v_C(t) + v_{inv}^*(t) \\
C_f \frac{d}{dt} v_C &= i_1(t) - i_2(t) \\
L_2 \frac{d}{dt} i_2(t) &= v_C(t) - R_2 i_2(t) - v_{pcc}(t),
\end{align*}
\]

where \(i_1, v_c\) and \(i_2\) are the current through \(L_1\), capacitor voltage and the output current, respectively. A state-space model [10] for the plant in (1) is

\[
\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t) + E\omega(t)
\]

\[
y(t) = Cx(t),
\]

with

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix} -R_1 & -1 & 0 \\ L_1 & C_f & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -R_2 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix},
B = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{L_1} \\ \frac{1}{C_f} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},
E = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},
C = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},
\]

and the state variables, input, disturbance, and output respectively represent

\[
x(t) = [i_1(t) \quad v_C(t) \quad i_2(t)]^T,
u(t) = v_{inv}^*(t), \quad \omega(t) = v_{pcc}(t), \quad y(t) = i_o(t).
\]
The zero order hold method is applied to obtain the discrete-time model for the plant in (2). Accordingly, we have
\[
\begin{align*}
    x[k+1] &= A_dx[k] + B_du[k] + E_d\omega[k] \\
    y[k] &= C_dx[k],
\end{align*}
\]
(5)
where \( x[k] \), \( u[k] \), \( \omega[k] \) and \( y[k] \) represent the sampled data state variables, input, disturbance, and output in (2). \( A_d \), \( B_d \), \( E_d \) and \( C_d \) are the resulting matrices from discretization of \( A \), \( B \), \( E \) and \( C \).

**B. Observer: estimation of capacitor current**

The observer in this paper uses the plant model for state estimation [11]. It is described by
\[
\begin{align*}
    \dot{x}[k] &= A_d\dot{x}[k] + B_du[k] + E_d\omega[k] \\
    y[k] &= C_d\dot{x}[k],
\end{align*}
\]
(6)
where \( \dot{x}[k] \) is the estimate of \( x[k] \) and \( L_{ob} \) is the observation gain vector/matrix. Since in Fig. 1 \( i_C = i_1 - i_2 \) holds according to Kirchhoff’s current law, the estimate of the capacitor current is obtained from
\[
i_C[k] = C_m\dot{x}[k],
\]
(7)
with \( C_m = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \).

**C. High-pass filter for active damping**

Placing a resistor in series with the capacitor effectively yields passive damping; the damping performance could be theoretically maintained by using a high-pass filter feeding back the capacitor current to the input voltage [12]. However, to resolve delays due to PWM, data sampling and computation, as addressed in [13], a modification is made to the high-pass filter. The filter employed in this paper is
\[
K_{hpf}(s) = K_vK(s),
\]
(8)
where \( K_v \) is a gain for tuning and \( K(s) \) is from [12], described by
\[
K(s) = \frac{R_vC_fL_2s^2}{C_fL_2s^2 + C_fR_v + 1}.
\]
(9)
The parameters \( C_f \) and \( L_2 \) are the capacitance and inductance indicated in Fig. 1, and \( R_v \) is a virtual resistor [12] used to achieve the desired passive damping performance.

**III. VALIDATION OF THE CONVERTER MODELLING**

Since the estimation of the capacitor current is based on the derived model of the grid-connected converter with LCL filter in (5), it is of vital importance to have an effective model. The outputs of the derived model are compared to the measurements, following the schematics shown in Fig. 2, where the derived plant model in (5) is implemented as indicated in the red dashed box. The circuit and the model are compared under two conditions: weak grid condition and stiff grid condition. In both scenarios, a 10% third harmonic (with respect to the fundamental) is added to the grid voltage at 0.107s to trigger transient behaviour. The grid voltage waveform is shown in Fig. 3. The parameters of the LCL filter in these tests are listed in Table I and the sampling rate is 20 kHz.

![Fig. 2. Schematic in PLECS used to check the derived state space model.](image)

**A. Weak grid condition**

A weak grid condition is created by setting \( L_g = 10.44 \text{ mH} \). The capacitor current waveforms from the circuit and from the state space model are shown in Fig. 4. It can be seen that the oscillation of the LCL filter clearly presents in the response of the derived model under the weak grid condition.

**B. Stiff grid condition**

A stiff grid condition is created by setting \( L_g = 0.1 \text{ mH} \). The capacitor current waveforms from the circuit and from the state space model in (5) are shown in Fig. 5.

The difference between the current waveforms from the model (the plant model in (5)) and the circuit (in Fig. 2) can be explained by the delays involved by using zero-order-hold. In an extreme case when the sampling rate is infinite, the derived model should be equivalent to the circuit. In the derived model, the information of the PCC voltage is delayed due to the zero-order-hold block applied (Fig. 2).
IV. ANALYSIS OF THE ACTIVE DAMPING TECHNIQUE

In theory the high-pass filter feedback in (8) yields stable resonance damping even without tuning \((K_v = 1)\). However, instability may occur due to the delays introduced by the digital implementation \([14]\). In light of this, stability analysis is performed in this section.

A. Open-loop damping gain

Synthesizing the plant model in (5) and the observer in (6), the state space model from the voltage \(v_{inv}^*\) and the pcc voltage \(v_{pcc}\) to the estimated capacitor current is

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    x[k+1] \\
    e[k+1]
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
    A_d & 0 \\
    O & A_d - L_{ob} C_d
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
    x[k] \\
    e[k]
\end{bmatrix}
+ \begin{bmatrix}
    B_d \\
    O
\end{bmatrix} u[k] + \begin{bmatrix}
    E_d \\
    O
\end{bmatrix} \omega[k]
\]

\[
\dot{i}_C[k] = \begin{bmatrix}
    C_m & -C_m \\
    C_d & C_d
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
    x[k] \\
    e[k]
\end{bmatrix},
\]

where \(e[k]\) is the state estimation error, denoted as \(e[k] = x[k] - \hat{x}[k]\). It can be seen from (10) that the estimation error is uncontrollable; however, it is stabilizable since the eigenvalue of \(A_d - L_{ob} C_d\) can be relocated with proper design of the observation gain vector/matrix \(L_{ob}\).

The open loop transfer function from the inverter output voltage to the estimated capacitor current is

\[
G(z) = \frac{i_C(z)}{v_{inv}^*(z)} = \bar{C}_d (zI - \bar{A}_d) \bar{B}_d.
\]

It is suggested that during stability analysis \(1.5T_s\) delay should be accounted for PWM, data sampling and computation \([14]\), where \(T_s\) is the sampling period. Since fractional-order delay adds to the complexity of stability analysis in the \(z\)-domain, this section approximates the worst case delay by 2 sampling periods. Therefore, the open loop gain of the damping path is

\[
T(z) = K_{hpf}(z) G(z) z^{-2},
\]

where \(K_{hpf}(z)\) is the discrete-time implementation of \(K_{hpf}(s)\) in (8). Combination of (8) and (12) yields

\[
T(z) = K_v K(z) G(z) z^{-2}.
\]

It is worth mentioning that two parameters can be tuned in the design of the observer-based active damping: \(K_v\) and \(R_v\). The discussion of these two parameters follows in the next sections.
B. Nyquist plot of $T(z)$

Table I lists the parameters of the considered LCL filter. The observer gain vector/matrix $L_{ob}$ is designed in such a way that it can track the plant state variables in $0.2T_g$, where $T_g$ is the grid period. The roots of Bessel Polynomials are adopted as locations of the eigenvalues of $A_d - L_{ob}C_d$. The sampling frequency is chosen as $f_s = 20$ kHz. Accordingly, the Nyquist plots of $T(z)$ with different values of $R_v$ are shown in Fig. 6; the tuning gain is set as $K_v = 0.25$ for stability.

As can be seen from Fig. 6, increasing $R_v$ decreases the gain margin; nevertheless, the phase margin increases. Moreover, setting the tuning gain $K_v = 1$ can make the system unstable, referring to the plot with $R_v = 100$ in Fig. 6 (where $K_v = 0.25$ is used).

D. Bode plot of $\hat{P}(z)$

Fig. 7 shows the Bode plots of the damped plant with different values of $R_v$, with the tuning factor $K_v = 0.25$. It can be seen that increasing the value of $R_v$ yields more damping of the LCL filter resonance.

Fig. 8 shows the Bode plots of the damped plant with different values of $K_v$, with $R_v = 100$. It can be seen that increasing the value of $K_v$ gives more damping to the LCL filter resonance as well; however, it may make the plant unstable, as depicted in Fig. 6.

### Table I. LCL Filter Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Converter side inductor</td>
<td>$L_1, R_1$</td>
<td>5.22 mH/0.2Ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacitor</td>
<td>$C_f$</td>
<td>2.82 μF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grid side inductor</td>
<td>$L_2, R_2$</td>
<td>5.22 mH/0.2Ω</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 6 Nyquist plot of $T(z)$, with different values of $R_v$, and $K_v = 0.25$.

Fig. 7 Bode plot of $\hat{P}(z)$ to show the benefit of active damping, with different values of $R_v$, and $K_v = 0.25$.

Fig. 8 Bode plot of $\hat{P}(z)$ to show the benefit of active damping, with different values of $K_v$, and $R_v = 100$. For a good trade-off between stability margins and LCL filter resonance attenuation effect, $R_v = 100$ and $K_v = 0.25$ are chosen for the active damping design, as summarized in Table II.
V. PR CURRENT CONTROLLER

In this section, a proportional-resonance (PR) current controller is considered to regulate the converter output current. The transfer function of the PR control in the s-domain is

\[ G_{pr}(s) = K_p + K_r \frac{s}{s^2 + \omega_0^2}, \]  

(15)

where \( K_p \) is the proportional gain, \( K_r \) the resonance gain, and \( \omega_0 \) is the grid angular frequency. The parameters for the PR control are listed in Table II. The PR is discretized using forward Euler and Backward Euler methods as in [15] to avoid algebraic loops. The resulting PR control in discrete form is denoted as \( G_{pr}(z) \). Ignoring the dynamics caused by the PCC voltage change (with reference to Fig. 1), the closed loop transfer function of the PR current controlled converter is

\[ G_{cl}(z) = \frac{\bar{P}(z)G_{pr}(z)z^{-2}}{1 + \bar{P}(z)G_{pr}(z)z^{-2}}, \]  

(16)

where \( z^{-2} \) is introduced to account for delays (\( 2T_s \)). Fig. 9 shows the Nyquist plot of \( \bar{P}(z)G_{pr}(z)z^{-2} \) with and without active damping. Consistent with the observation in Fig. 7 and Fig. 8, applying the proposed active damping technique helps to stabilize the current control feedback system.

![Nyquist plot](image)

**Fig. 9. Nyquist plot of \( \bar{P}(z)G_{pr}(z)z^{-2} \) with and without active damping.**

**TABLE II. PARAMETERS OF THE CONTROLLER**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PR filter</td>
<td>( K_p )</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( K_r )</td>
<td>6000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \omega_0 )</td>
<td>( 2\pi f_s )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active damping</td>
<td>( K_v )</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( R_v )</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling</td>
<td>( f_s )</td>
<td>20 kHz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VI. SIMULATION RESULTS

Two sets of simulation tests were performed in Matlab/Simulink/Plecs to investigate the aforementioned stability discussion. A full bridge converter is used with a 400 V DC input source. A unipolar pulse-width-modulation scheme is applied to the full bridge converter. The switching frequency is \( f_{sw} = 20 \) kHz and the desired peak output current is set as 4 A. The LCL filter parameters in simulation are shown in Table I.

A. Weak grid condition

A weak grid condition is created by setting \( L_g = 10.44 \) mH, with reference to the circuit in Fig. 1. Figure 10, Figure 11 and Figure 12 show the simulated transient waveforms of the converter output current \( i_o \) under the weak grid condition. In Fig. 10 the active damping loop is disabled at 0.1 s by setting \( K_v \) to zero. In Fig. 11 at 0.1 s the parameter \( K_v \) is set from 0.25 to 1, and in Fig. 12 at 0.1 s the parameter \( K_v \) is set from 0.25 to 2.

It can be seen that the system becomes unstable when the observer-based active damping is disabled in Fig. 10, which is in agreement with the analysis of Fig. 9. Moreover, in accordance with the results in Fig. 6, in Fig. 11 and Fig. 12 it is shown that a large \( K_v \) can also make the system unstable.

![Fig. 10. Simulated transient response of \( i_o \) at the weak grid condition.](image)

![Fig. 11. Simulated transient response of \( i_o \) at the weak grid condition. At 0.1 s the parameter \( K_v \) is set from 0.25 to 1.](image)

![Fig. 12. Simulated transient response of \( i_o \) at the weak grid condition. At 0.1 s the parameter \( K_v \) is set from 0.25 to 2.](image)

B. Stiff grid condition

A stiff grid condition is created by setting \( L_g = 0.1 \) mH, with reference to the circuit in Fig. 1. Figure 13, Figure 14 and Figure 15 show the simulated transient waveforms of the converter output current \( i_o \) under the stiff grid condition. In Fig. 10 the active damping loop is disabled at 0.1 s by setting \( K_v \) to zero. In Fig. 14 at 0.1 s...
the parameter $K_o$ is set from 0.25 to 1, and in Fig. 15 at 0.1 s the parameter $K_o$ is set from 0.25 to 2.

Consistent with under the weak grid condition, the system becomes unstable when the active damping is disabled. This is as expected since no grid parameter is used for the observer design. Moreover, an un-decaying harmonic oscillation present in the converter output current waveform when $K_o$ increases to 1 (in Fig. 14) and in a worse case the system becomes unstable (in Fig. 15), which is also consistent with under the weak grid condition.

Fig. 13. Simulated transient response of $i_o$ at the stiff grid condition. The active damping is disabled at 0.1 s by setting $K_o$ from 0.25 to zero.

Fig. 14. Simulated transient response of $i_o$ at the stiff grid condition. At 0.1 s the parameter $K_o$ is set from 0.25 to 1.

Fig. 15. Simulated transient response of $i_o$ at the stiff grid condition. At 0.1 s the parameter $K_o$ is set from 0.25 to 2.

VII. CONCLUSION

In this paper an add-on control scheme is proposed to damp the resonance of the LCL filter. It estimates the capacitor current from the measurements of the grid-side converter output current, the local voltage, and the LCL filter parameters. Accordingly, a conventional capacitor-current-based active damping technique can be applied. The advantage of this scheme is that there is no need to measure the capacitor current, making it an add-on feature for grid-connected converters since the measurement of the output current and local voltage already exist. A model is derived to define the stability region of the proposed active damping technique.

In order to validate the damping benefit provided by the proposed control technique, a proportional-resonance current controller is added to the grid-connected converter. The overall system is shown to be stable with the damping technique and unstable without. On top of that, simulation results show that the active damping technique is applicable for both weak and stiff grid operation.
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