

Identifying factors for personalized strategies to motivate seniors to adopt a more active lifestyle

Citation for published version (APA):

Valk, C. A. L., Wintermans, M. C., Lu, Y., Bekker, M. M., & Brankaert, R. G. A. (2018). Identifying factors for personalized strategies to motivate seniors to adopt a more active lifestyle. *Gerontechnology, 17*, 63s. <https://doi.org/10.4017/gt.2018.17.s.063.00>

DOI:

[10.4017/gt.2018.17.s.063.00](https://doi.org/10.4017/gt.2018.17.s.063.00)

Document status and date:

Published: 01/04/2018

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

PAPER

Acceptance and Use

C.A.L. VALK, M.C. WINTERMANS, Y. LU, M.M. BEKKER, R.G.A. BRANKAERT. *Identifying factors for personalized strategies to motivate seniors to adopt a more active lifestyle. Gerontechnology 2018;17(Suppl):63s; <https://doi.org/10.4017/gt.2018.17.s.063.00>*

Purpose Sedentary lifestyles threaten the independence and wellbeing of the rapidly growing senior population¹. This lack of physical activity contributes to symptoms of frailty². Maintaining or increasing physical activity has many benefits³ and can increase senior independence³. The value of personal profiling (context of work, personal traits...etc.) according to personal drivers toward behavioral intention is accepted^{4,5}. User profiling relates to the goal that the user aims to reach, while psychological factors relate to motivation towards behavior changes⁶. It is therefore necessary to investigate which profiling factors are important to create personalized motivational strategies for promotion of physical activities. **Method** In this observational study, community dwelling senior members of a local Dutch senior community center were asked to wear the Xiaomi Band step counters and record their daily activities in diary-like cultural probe with both open and closed questions. Personal trait information, including age, gender, their perceived age, perceived health, participant stage of change⁷ and their Regulatory Focus⁸, was collected at the beginning and end of the 3-month test period. **Results & Discussion** Of the 52 seniors initially recruited, 44 submitted diaries and 15 yielded complete step data. To explore the relationship between participant's personal traits and activities, a word search on the qualitative data from the diaries was done to find how often participants mentioned high, moderate and low intensity physical activity. The number of references which male and female participants made about these levels of physical activity was compared using a non-parametric test correlation analysis using Spearman's rho correlation efficient. With 95% confidence, this analysis implied that female participants undertook a higher diversity of physical activity in terms of intensity compared to male participants (Spearman's rho=0.878). This result suggests that gender is one important profiling factor concerning the promotion of physical activity. A similar analysis was conducted to examine the level of physical activity reported compared to participant's level of perceived health. This analysis indicated, with 99% confidence (Spearman's rho=0.698), that participants with higher perceived health undertook activities with more diverse levels of physical intensity. Further research is needed to see if health or self-efficacy could cause this correlation. In a linear regression analysis, no significant difference was found between the number of steps participants with a different regulatory focus⁸ (promotion or prevention) made. The number of steps participants completed was correlated with the months that the steps were taken in (February, March, or April). This could be possibly due to the improved weather and could suggest that personalized strategies addressing participant's environment or context can also increase motivation to physical activity.

References

1. Rezende L, Rey-López J, Matsudo V, Luiz O. Sedentary behavior and health outcomes among older adults: a systematic review. *BMC Public Health*. 2014;14(1)
2. Chodzko-Zajko W, Schwingel A, Chae Hee Park. Successful Aging: The Role of Physical Activity. *American Journal of Lifestyle Medicine*. 2008;3(1):20-28
3. Bauman A, Merom D, Bull F, Buchner D, Fiatarone Singh M. Updating the Evidence for Physical Activity: Summative Reviews of the Epidemiological Evidence, Prevalence, and Interventions to Promote "Active Aging". *The Gerontologist*. 2016;56(Suppl 2):S268-S280
4. Kaptein M, Markopoulos P, de Ruyter B, Aarts E. Personalizing persuasive technologies: Explicit and implicit personalization using persuasion profiles. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*. 2015;77:38-51
5. LeRouge C, Ma J, Sneha S, Tolle K. User profiles and personas in the design and development of consumer health technologies. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*. 2013;82(11):e251-e268
6. Consolvo S, McDonald D, Landay J. *Theory-Driven Design Strategies for Technologies that Support Behavior Change in Everyday Life*. CHI. Boston; 2009
7. Prochaska J, DiClemente C, Norcross J. In search of how people change: Applications to addictive behaviors. *American Psychologist*. 1992;47(9):1102-1114
8. Brockner J, Higgins E. Regulatory Focus Theory: Implications for the Study of Emotions at Work. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*. 2001;86(1):35-66

Keywords: ageing, senior citizens, sedentary behavior, motivation

Address: Eindhoven University of the Technology;

E: c.a.l.valk@tue.nl