Directed nucleation and growth by balancing local supersaturation and substrate/nucleus lattice mismatch

Citation for published version (APA):

DOI:
10.1073/pnas.1712911115

Document status and date:
Published: 03/04/2018

Document Version:
Accepted manuscript including changes made at the peer-review stage

Please check the document version of this publication:

• A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
• The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
• The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

Link to publication

General rights
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
• You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
• You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the “Taverne” license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:
www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy
If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:
openaccess@tue.nl
providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Download date: 06. Sep. 2019
Directed nucleation and growth by balancing local 
supersaturation and substrate/nucleus lattice 
mismatch

L. Li,1,2,3 A. J. Fijneman,1,4 J. A. Kaandorp,5 J. Aizenberg,1,2,6,7 W. L. Noorduin,1,8

1John A. Paulson School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
2Wyss Institute for Biologically Inspired Engineering, Harvard University, Boston, MA 02115, USA
3Department of Mechanical Engineering, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA 24060, USA
4Department of Chemical Engineering and Chemistry, Eindhoven University of Technology, 5612 AZ Eindhoven, The Netherlands
5Computational Science Lab, Faculty of Science, University of Amsterdam, 1098 XH Amsterdam, The Netherlands
6Kavli Institute for Bionano Science and Technology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
7Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
8AMOLF, 1098 XG Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

Controlling nucleation and growth is crucial in biological and artificial mineralization and self-assembly processes. The nucleation barrier is determined by the interfaces and local supersaturation. Although chemically tailored substrates and lattice mismatches are routinely used to modify various forms of energy contributions as resulted from the substrate/nucleus interface and thereby steer controlled heterogeneous nucleation, strategies to combine this with control over local supersaturations have remained virtually unexplored. Here we demonstrate simultaneous control over both parameters to direct the positioning and growth direction of mineralizing compounds on preselected polymorphic substrates. We exploit the polymorphic nature of calcium carbonate (CaCO₃) to locally manipulate the carbonate concentration and lattice mismatch between the nucleus and substrate, such that barium carbonate (BaCO₃) and strontium carbonate (SrCO₃) nucleate only on specific CaCO₃ polymorphs. Based on this approach we position different materials and shapes on predetermined CaCO₃ polymorphs in sequential steps, and guide the growth direction using locally created supersaturations. These results shed light on nature’s remarkable mineralization capabilities and outline new fabrication strategies for advanced materials, such as ceramics, photonic structures and semiconductors.

Significance
The energy barrier for a classical heterogeneous crystal nucleation can be controlled by the energy contributions from the substrate/nucleus interface and local supersaturation. Exerting control over crystal growth thus requires modifying either one of these terms. We here introduce a strategy to modulate the contributions of both parameters simultaneously using substrates containing different crystal structures of calcium carbonate. Based on a theoretical analysis, we program both the positioning and growth direction of carbonate salts on preselected polymorphs. These findings may hold relevance for understanding, mimicking and ultimately expanding upon nature’s mineralization strategies and for developing functional microscale materials.

Introduction
Spurred by the recent advancement in techniques such as microfabrication and electron microscopy, there has been tremendous advancement in understanding and controlling both
biological and artificial mineralization processes (1-4). Unlike homogeneous nucleation, heterogeneous nucleation allows for an effective strategy to control the local nucleation energy barrier by modifying the chemical and structural characteristics between the nascent nucleus and substrates (5-12). Alternatively, a local increase of the supersaturation can onset nucleation (13-15) – an approach broadly utilized by natural systems through ion channels that deliver crystallizing components to the specific site where nucleation should occur, – but this approach has hardly been explored in artificial systems. Only recently, such local supersaturations were created using an ion binding biomimetic matrix (4). However, little or no work has been done to develop principles that allow for simultaneous control over the local supersaturation and interfacial free energy for directing the nucleation position, let alone the growth (15).

While virtually all previous studies have been aimed at avoiding polymorphism (16), we here use the ability of compounds to organize in different crystal forms to rationally control local supersaturations in the vicinities of different polymorphs and subtle variations in the lattice mismatch between the substrate and the nucleus. An ideal model system to test this strategy is the crystallization of BaCO₃ selectively on top of different polymorphs of CaCO₃. CaCO₃ is the most abundant biomineral and can be found in three anhydrous polymorphs that all occur in living organisms (17-23). At room temperature calcite is the most stable polymorph, followed by aragonite and finally vaterite as the least stable structure. To demonstrate the ability to tune the positioning of BaCO₃ on top of these polymorphs and its growth direction, we analyze and exploit local variations of supersaturations induced by the difference in the dissolution rate of CaCO₃ polymorphs. Additionally, the crystal structures of calcite, aragonite, and vaterite are different from each other, and only aragonite resembles that of BaCO₃ (23). This variation in crystal structures allows for direct evaluation of the effects of the lattice mismatch on templated nucleation of BaCO₃ on selected CaCO₃ polymorphs modulated by the local supersaturation gradients.

\[ \alpha_{lc}, \alpha_{ls,x}, \alpha_{cs,x} \]

**Fig. 1. Nucleation of BaCO₃ on a CaCO₃ substrate.** The energy barrier for nucleation is determined by (i) the contributions of the interfacial free energies arising from the lattice mismatch between liquid-crystal $\alpha_{lc}$, liquid-substrate $\alpha_{ls,x}$ and substrate-crystal $\alpha_{cs,x}$, and (ii) the local supersaturation, which depends on the concentration of ions in the solution, the influx of CO$_3^{2-}$, the crystallization rate of BaCO₃ and dissolution rate of CaCO₃, $k_{BaCO}_3$ and $k_{CaCO}_3$, respectively for a specific crystal structure $x$. By controlling the influx of the CO$_3^{2-}$, the balance can be shifted from the major contribution from the lattice mismatch at high [CO$_3^{2-}$]$_{bulk}$ to a local supersaturation-dominated process controlled by the differences in the solubility of CaCO₃ polymorphs at low [CO$_3^{2-}$]$_{bulk}$. Note that the hemispherical representation is chosen for illustrative purposes and will depend on the specific nucleation conditions.
Results and Discussions

To understand the unique interplay between the interfacial free energy that arises from the lattice mismatch and supersaturation in this system, we analyze a solution containing \( \text{Ba}^{2+} \) ions in which an influx of \( \text{CO}_3^{2-} \) causes the templated nucleation of \( \text{BaCO}_3 \) on a \( \text{CaCO}_3 \) substrate with crystal structure \( x \) (Fig. 1). According to classical nucleation theory (13-15), the energy barrier to form a critical nucleus, \( \Delta g_n \), can be described as a function of the local supersaturation \( \sigma \) and the interfacial free energy \( \alpha \) according to

\[
\Delta g_n \propto \frac{\alpha x^3}{\sigma x^2}.
\]

The lattice mismatch between the \( \text{BaCO}_3 \) nucleus and the underlying substrate directly contributes to the interfacial energy penalties, which include an energy contribution due to the difference in chemical bonding, and a strain energy contribution from the interface and the nucleate volume that arises from the incoherent ordering at the interface. Although analytical expressions of each energy contribution are hard to achieve due to the complexity of the system, both energy contributions should decrease as the substrate/nucleus interface becomes more crystallographically aligned and coherent.

The energy gain resulting from the chemical potential energy is directly related to the supersaturation of \( \text{BaCO}_3 \). In case the substrate has a higher solubility than the nucleating compound, and the rate of dissolution of the substrate is higher than the rate of growth of the nucleating crystal (Fig. 1), the supersaturation in \( \text{BaCO}_3 \) can be expressed as:

\[
\sigma = \ln \left( \frac{k_{\text{BaCO}_3} [\text{Ba}^{2+}] [\text{CO}_3^{2-}]_{\text{bulk}}}{k_{\text{BaCO}_3} [\text{Ba}^{2+}]_{x} - k_{x} + k_{x}' [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{x}} \right)
\]

with \( k_{\text{BaCO}_3} \) the crystallization rate constant of \( \text{BaCO}_3 \), \([\text{CO}_3^{2-}]_{\text{bulk}}\) the concentration of carbonate in the bulk solution, \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{x}\) the \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) concentration close to the surface of the \( \text{CaCO}_3 \) crystal, \( k_{x} \) the dissolution rate constant of \( \text{CaCO}_3 \) for a specific polymorph \( x \), and \( k_{x}' = k_{\text{CaCO}_3,x} / K_{\text{sp,CaCO}_3,x} \) where \( K_{\text{sp,CaCO}_3,x} \) is the solubility product of a specific polymorph \( x \) of \( \text{CaCO}_3 \) (see SI, 24-27). We assume \( k_{\text{vaterite}} > k_{\text{aragonite}} > k_{\text{calcite}} \) and \( K_{\text{sp,vaterite}} > K_{\text{sp,aragonite}} > K_{\text{sp,calcite}} \), which implies that both the dissolution rate and solubility of vaterite is higher than those for aragonite and calcite. In case of ample availability of \( \text{Ba}^{2+} \), the free energy barrier \( \Delta g_n \) for nucleation on a specific polymorph of \( \text{CaCO}_3 \) is controlled by the interplay of: (a) the concentration of carbonate from the bulk solution, (b) the polymorph-dependent lattice mismatch of the \( \text{CaCO}_3 \) substrate, and (c) the substrate solubility equilibrium. We therefore expect that the choice of the positioning of the nucleation can be tuned by the subtle changes in relative contributions of these parameters. Below we consider various scenarios where the impact of these parameters is analyzed and exploited to direct the nucleation and growth of \( \text{BaCO}_3 \).

To evaluate the effects of lattice mismatch in the carbonate system studied here, we characterized the crystallographic relationships of \( \text{BaCO}_3 \) grown on top of calcite, aragonite and vaterite as substrate crystals. The overgrown \( \text{BaCO}_3 \) crystals can be easily differentiated from the underlying \( \text{CaCO}_3 \) crystals in backscatter electron microscopy due to their electron density difference (Fig. 2A, i, ii). Through focused ion beam (FIB) milling, electron-transparent transmission electron microscopy (TEM) samples were prepared at the interfaces of nucleus and substrates, from which the morphological and crystallographic characteristics were evaluated (Fig. 2A, iii-v). \( \text{BaCO}_3 \) exhibit well alignment with calcite and aragonite by sharing the same \( c \)-axes, while vaterite showed no crystallographic alignment (Fig. 2A; see Supplementary Information). This lack of orientation matching thus indicates a higher interfacial free energy for nucleation on vaterite as
compared to calcite and aragonite. A qualitative estimation of the lattice mismatch shows that BaCO₃ has a slightly smaller lattice mismatch in both a and b directions on calcite as the substrate in comparison to aragonite as the substrate (SI, section 8) (12). Therefore, when all three polymorphs are present as crystallization substrates, BaCO₃ will selectively first nucleate on calcite, followed by aragonite, but not on vaterite for the same supersaturation levels. On the other hand, substrate solubility should favor the nucleation of BaCO₃ on vaterite over crystallization on aragonite, and least on calcite, due to significant local supersaturation occurring on the surfaces of the dissolving vaterite at low values of bulk carbonate content. The relative contributions of these two factors can be easily tuned by varying [CO₃²⁻]_{bulk}, according to Eqs. 1-2. For high values of the [CO₃²⁻]_{bulk} the lattice mismatch is expected to dominate the crystallization position, whereas for low values of [CO₃²⁻]_{bulk} the solubility equilibrium of the substrate will increase the supersaturation in the vicinity of the least stable polymorphs.

These differences in lattice mismatches, in combination with external control over the local supersaturation allow us to selectively nucleate BaCO₃ on a predetermined polymorph of CaCO₃. To probe the influence of [CO₃²⁻]_{bulk}, we developed a method to fabricate mixed polymorphic substrates containing all three polymorphs of CaCO₃ (typically, calcite 79±8%, aragonite 14±5% and vaterite 7±3%) by crystallizing CaCO₃ on an aluminum plate (see SI for details and setup). We vertically positioned the substrate containing all three CaCO₃ polymorphs in the reaction solution. As carbonate from the air entered the solution from the top, a [CO₃²⁻] gradient was created along the substrate, thus allowing for a continuous combinatorial screening of the influence of the [CO₃²⁻]_{bulk} on the overgrowth of the CaCO₃ polymorphs. To quantify the results, we manually counted each polymorph as a function of the depth (Fig. 2B). We define the polymorph overgrowth ratio \( R_{Ba,x} \) for a specific CaCO₃ polymorph \( x \) as \( R_{Ba,x} = \frac{n_{Ba,x}/n_x}{\Sigma_x(n_{Ba,x}/n_x)} \), with \( n_x \) denoting the total number of CaCO₃ crystals of a specific polymorph \( x \); \( n_{Ba,x} \) the number of CaCO₃ crystals of this polymorph that have been overgrown with BaCO₃, and the sum in the nominator running over all possible polymorphs (Fig. 2C). Additionally, we compute the cumulative distribution function (CDF) for each overgrown polymorph as a function of the depth in the solution (Fig. 2D).

Close to the meniscus (0.0-0.5 mm), the [CO₃²⁻]_{bulk} is the highest, which minimizes the effect of the local carbonate supersaturation due to polymorph dissolution. The lattice mismatch thus dominates the nucleation position, and BaCO₃ only nucleates on calcite and aragonite, with no nucleation on vaterite, which is expected as the lack of crystallographic alignment on vaterite results in a disadvantageous lattice mismatch. Deeper in the solution (0.5-2.0 mm), the lower [CO₃²⁻]_{bulk} decreases the local supersaturation around the calcite and aragonite crystals, and fewer of them become overgrown with BaCO₃. The lower [CO₃²⁻]_{bulk} also increases the dissolution of the least stable polymorph following Eq. 2, thus locally increasing the supersaturation around the vaterite crystals. Since the solubility of BaCO₃ is approximately tenfold lower than that of CaCO₃ polymorphs (\( K_{sp,BaCO_3} << K_{sp,CaCO_3,x} \)), the increase in CO₃²⁻ concentration around the dissolving vaterite crystals onsets the nucleation of BaCO₃. Below 2.0 mm [CO₃²⁻]_{bulk} the supersaturation is too low for nucleation on either calcite or aragonite crystals even though the lattice mismatch is favorable for these substrates. The stability-dependent dissolution completely dominates the nucleation and all vaterite crystals become overgrown. Dissolving vaterite crystals even increases the local CO₃²⁻ concentration to such an extent that crystallization of BaCO₃ may occur on an accidental calcite and aragonite crystal grown in close proximity to vaterite (Fig. SI 2B). It should be noted that a similar trend is found for the overgrowth of CaCO₃ polymorphs with SrCO₃ instead of BaCO₃ (Fig. SI 3), demonstrating that these principles can readily be extended to other systems. Furthermore, precise control over the spatial location and polymorphism of the CaCO₃ substrates may be gained using patterned self-assembled monolayers (11).
In order to create more complex shapes beyond the simple morphology of BaCO₃ crystals, we introduce silica to the reaction. The resulting BaCO₃/SiO₂ structures can straightforwardly be sculpted in a rich pallet of microshapes such as vases, spirals and corals by externally modulating...
the reaction conditions, such as pH and temperature (28-31). The formation of these various shapes and their orientation is highly sensitive to and nearly fully determined by the concentrations of the reacting species in the vicinity of the developing structures, and can therefore act as an ideal model system to test the sensitivity of the nucleation events described above. Recently, we have also shown that precise control of the shape could lead to functional shapes such as those that can be used in photonics (31). In particular, by integrating a fluorescent dye as a light source in the nucleating barium carbonate seed crystal, effective waveguiding and beamsplitting through the rationally designed microarchitectures were achieved. While in this previous work no control over the position of these structures was obtained, the present study can introduce the ability to precisely control the location of the nucleation of these microstructures. Moreover, we anticipated that akin to chemotaxis, the creation of the local gradient in supersaturation induced by specific polymorphs of CaCO₃ placed in the immediate vicinity of the nucleating microstructures may be used to steer the growth of these structures in predetermined directions.

The precipitation of these BaCO₃/SiO₂ structures starts with the formation of a BaCO₃ crystal, which to a good approximation is not affected by the SiO₂. Hence we can assign the nucleation position in a manner analogous to how we directed the nucleation of BaCO₃ (Fig. 3A,B). Indeed, we find the same trend: preferred nucleation on calcite and aragonite at low immersion depths, whereas nucleation on vaterite only occurs deeper in the solution. Importantly, the ratio between the overgrown aragonite and calcite crystals in the top of the substrate gradually increases, with aragonite crystals being selectively overgrown at 0.75-1.5 mm (Fig. 3B), likely due to the growing contribution of the increase in local supersaturation from the dissolving calcium carbonate in the BaCO₃/aragonite system over the BaCO₃/calcite, as the bulk concentration in CO₂ goes down. It should be noted that the silica hampers the nucleation of BaCO₃. As a result, we observe a zone (2.0-5.0 mm) where the local supersaturation is insufficient to grow BaCO₃/SiO₂ structures and only below 5.0 mm is the local supersaturation sufficiently high to induce nucleation on vaterite. More complex shapes can be grown by rationally adjusting the reaction conditions, as exemplified by the SrCO₃/SiO₂ stems in Fig. 3C that were opened into vases using a CO₂ pulse and subsequently decorated with serrated edges using temperature modulations.

The ability to control nucleation on preselected polymorphs can also be used for positioning different materials on assigned polymorphs in sequential steps. We demonstrated this by first growing CaCO₃ spirals in the presence of polyaspartic acid (PAA) (32, 33). As these spirals are composed of vaterite nanocrystals, they selectively form on vaterite in the presence of all three polymorphs independent of the depth (Fig. 3D). With both the calcite and aragonite crystals still available, we subsequently positioned BaCO₃/SiO₂ spirals on the aragonite crystals while leaving the calcite crystals bare (Fig. 3E) at a depth of 1.5 mm.
Due the sensitivity of the BaCO$_3$/$\text{SiO}_2$ coprecipitation to local gradients, one can further define the growth direction of these sophisticated structures e.g. spirals, towards locally positioned carbonate sources. We ascertained this by growing BaCO$_3$/$\text{SiO}_2$ spirals on a substrate containing the mixture of CaCO$_3$ polymorphs at a depth of ca. 1.5 mm. According to Fig. 3B, at this depth the nucleation of BaCO$_3$ preferentially occurs on aragonite whereas neighboring vaterite gradually dissolves, thus causing a local increase in [CO$_3^{2-}$] that could attract the growth front of the BaCO$_3$/$\text{SiO}_2$ structure and induce its directional chemotaxis. Indeed, we observed that BaCO$_3$/$\text{SiO}_2$ spirals first formed on aragonite, and subsequently grew only towards nearby dissolving vaterite, while not being influenced by neighboring calcite (Fig. 4). It should be noted that in the absence of silica, we also found that dissolving vaterite crystals could induce sufficient carbonate concentrations to induce nucleation on nearby calcite and vaterite crystals (Fig. SI 2B). Selectively lowering the relative energy barrier for nucleation on one polymorph and creating a
nearby local supersaturation by dissolving another polymorph thus provides simultaneous control over both the nucleation on preselected polymorphs and growth direction.

**Fig. 4. Polymorph directed nucleation and growth.** The balance between the local supersaturation and lattice mismatch can be controlled by the influx of CO₂ such that nucleation of a BaCO₃/SiO₂ microstructure first occurs at aragonite (green arrow) and subsequently grows towards nearby dissolving vaterite (red arrow) while leaving nearby calcite unaffected (blue arrow). The dotted arrow represents the direction of growth.

**Summary and Conclusions**
In conclusion, we have introduced a strategy to control the polymorph-specific positioning of mineralizing compounds and their directional growth using substrates with polymorphic mixtures as crystallization templates. The power of our approach is that merely the influx of carbonate allows us to manipulate the local concentrations of the crystallizing ions within a landscape of different interfacial energies. Therefore, by simultaneously controlling the concentration of carbonate from the bulk solution, the polymorph-dependent interfacial energy contribution from the lattice mismatch, and the substrate solubility, we are able to elicit unusual mineralization pathways unachievable by separate control over these individual parameters. In contrast with commonly used nucleation techniques that are based on lowering of the interfacial free energy only, we can therefore also nucleate selectively on substrates that have an unfavorable crystallographic alignment. Additionally, we can sequentially position different materials on assigned polymorphs and steer the growth of these structures in directions predetermined by the location of neighboring dissolving species. These concepts can perfect our ability to control nucleation and growth of nanostructured materials and may elucidate mechanisms that regulate nano- and microscale phenomena in biomineralization processes.

**Methods**

**Crystallization of CaCO₃ polymorphic substrates.** For the crystallization of mixed polymorphs of CaCO₃, 0.032 g of CaCl₂ was dissolved in 15 mL water in a 50 or 100 mL beaker. An aluminum slide (ca. 15x15x1 mm), or aluminum-coated microscope glass slide, was vertically positioned in the solution and the beaker was placed in a closed desiccator with freshly ground (NH₄)₂CO₃ following the method developed by Addadi et al. (6). After ca. 30-45 min, the slide was removed from the solution, washed twice with water and then washed with acetone and dried on the air. For details see SI Appendix, section 1.

**Overgrowth of a CaCO₃ polymorph mixture with BaCO₃.** In a 50 or 100 mL beaker, we dissolved 0.074 g BaCl₂ in 15 mL water and adjusted the pH to 11.9 using NaOH. A previously
prepared slide with a mixture of calcite, aragonite and vaterite (see above) was positioned at a 90° angle in the solution such that a half of the previously overgrown area (shown schematically as a green line in Fig. SI2A, left) was submerged. A petri dish was loosely placed on the beaker allowing for the CO₂ from the air to enter the beaker. After ca. 30-45 min, the slide was removed from the solution, washed twice with water and then washed with acetone and subsequently dried. Optical microscopy and SEM were used to analyze the slide and quantify the crystallization of the BaCO₃ on the CaCO₃ mixture. For details see SI Appendix section 2 and for overgrowth with SrCO₃ SI Appendix, section 3.

**Overgrowth of a CaCO₃ polymorph mixture with BaCO₃/SiO₂ microstructures.** In a 50 or 100 mL beaker, we dissolved 0.074 g of BaCl₂ and 0.016 g of Na₂SiO₃ in 15 mL water. To grow stem, vase and coral shaped structures, the pH was adjusted to pH 11.9 whereas for growth helices the pH was adjusted to 11.1 (30). A previously prepared slide with a mixture of calcite, aragonite and vaterite (see above) was positioned at 90° in the solution such that half of the previously overgrown area was submerged in the solution. A petri dish was loosely placed on the beaker such that CO₂ from the air could enter the beaker. After ca. 45 – 120 min, the slide was removed from the solution, washed twice with water and then washed with acetone and dried in the air. Optical microscopy and SEM were used to analyze the results.

**Overgrowth of a CaCO₃ polymorph mixture with CaCO₃/PAA microstructures.** In a 50 or 100 mL beaker, we dissolved 0.032 g of CaCl₂ and between 60 and 120 μg/mL poly (α,L-aspartate) (Sigma Aldrich) in 15 mL water. A previously prepared slide with a mixture of calcite, aragonite and vaterite (see above) was position under positioned at 90° in the solution such that half of the previously overgrown area was submerged. The beaker was placed in a closed desiccator with freshly ground (NH₄)₂CO₃ and placed in an oven at 45 °C. After ca. 45 – 120 min, the slide was removed from the solution, washed twice with water and then washed with acetone and dried in the air. Optical microscopy, Raman microscopy and SEM were used to analyze the slide.

**FIB/TEM procedure.** Samples were coated with Au (~5 nm) to reduce charging effects prior to focused ion beam (FIB) milling with a Helios Nanolab 660 Dual Beam electron microscope (FEI, OR). Typical TEM sample preparation procedure was as follows: 1) a platinum protective layer (~0.5 μm) was first laid down on top of the desired structure; 2) another platinum protective layer (~1.5 μm) was further deposited on top of the rectangular region where the TEM slab was to be milled out; 3) two sides of the coated structure, were milled away by FIB, leaving the slab of specimen (thickness: ~1.5 μm); 4) the slab was then cut through by FIB and transferred to a copper TEM grid by an Omniprobe and welded securely with platinum deposition; 5) the lift-out lamellar of specimen was sequentially thinned by FIB at 30, 16, 5, and 2 kV ion beam voltages. Final cleaning at 2 kV and 28 pA is important to obtain a clean surface and minimize damage. TEM imaging was carried out using a JEOL 2011 operated at 120 kV. For detailed section 6-8 in the SI Appendix for detailed figures, analysis of the crystal structure and calculation of the crystallographic mismatch.
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1. Simultaneous crystallization of calcite, aragonite, and vaterite
For the crystallization of mixed polymorphs of CaCO₃, 0.032 g of CaCl₂ was dissolved in 15 mL water in a 50 or 100 mL beaker. An aluminum slide (ca. 15x15x1 mm), or aluminum-coated microscope glass slide, was vertically positioned in the solution and the beaker was placed in a closed desiccator with freshly ground (NH₄)₂CO₃ following the method developed by Addadi et al. (1). After ca. 30-45 min, the slide was removed from the solution, washed twice with water and then washed with acetone and dried on the air. Optical microscopy, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and Raman microscopy revealed that the slide contained a mixture of calcite (79±8%), aragonite (14±5%) and vaterite (7±3%) (Fig. SI 1).
2. Overgrowth of a CaCO$_3$ polymorph mixture with BaCO$_3$

In a 50 or 100 mL beaker, we dissolved 0.074 g BaCl$_2$ in 15 mL water and adjusted the pH to 11.9 using NaOH. A previously prepared slide with a mixture of calcite, aragonite and vaterite (see above) was positioned at a 90° angle in the solution such that a half of the previously overgrown area (shown schematically as a green line in Fig. SI2A, left) was submerged. A petri dish was loosely placed on the beaker allowing for the CO$_2$ from the air to enter the beaker. After ca. 30-45 min, the slide was removed from the solution, washed twice with water and then washed with acetone and subsequently dried. Optical microscopy and SEM were used to analyze the slide and quantify the crystallization of the BaCO$_3$ on the CaCO$_3$ mixture (shown schematically as a red line in Fig. SI 2A, left).

To quantify the polymorphic overgrowth, we manually counted each polymorph as a function of the depth. Subsequently we plotted the cumulative distribution function. Alternatively, we define the polymorph overgrowth ratio $R_{Ba,x}$ for a specific CaCO$_3$ polymorph $x$ as $R_{Ba,x} = (n_{Ba,x}/n_x)/\Sigma_x (n_{Ba,x}/n_x)$, with $n_x$ denoting the total number of CaCO$_3$ crystals of the polymorph, $n_{Ba,x}$ the number of CaCO$_3$ crystals of this polymorph that have been overgrown with BaCO$_3$, and the sum in the nominator running over all possible polymorphs.

The CDF is computed by manually counting the overgrown CaCO$_3$ crystals per polymorph as a function of the depth as a fraction of the total number of overgrown CaCO$_3$ crystals. Note that the CDF does not include the data of the CaCO$_3$ crystals that are not overgrown.
**Figure SI 2.** Analysis of overgrowth of a CaCO$_3$ polymorph mixture with BaCO$_3$. (A) Left: Schematic drawing showing the positioning of the substrate containing the mixture of CaCO$_3$ polymorphs (green line and the inset on the top) that becomes overgrown with BaCO$_3$ (red line and the lower inset). Right: Backscatter SEM images, in which BaCO$_3$ appears light and CaCO$_3$ appears dark, qualitatively show that at the top (yellow box) calcite and aragonite are overgrown, deeper in the solution (blue box) all three polymorphs are overgrown and at the deepest part (red box) mainly vaterite crystals are overgrown. (B) Backscatter SEM image taken at the deepest part in the solution (2.5-3.0mm) showing two overgrown vaterite crystals (red arrow). The local increase in the [CO$_3^{2-}$] from the dissolving vaterite crystals also caused crystallization on a calcite crystal that grew in the immediate vicinity of vaterite; note that the overgrowth of BaCO$_3$ on this crystal takes place on the surface facing the nearby vaterite (top blue arrow), whereas a calcite crystal further away from the vaterite crystals remains empty (bottom blue arrow).

3. Overgrowth of a CaCO$_3$ polymorph mixture with SrCO$_3$

In a 50 or 100 mL beaker we dissolved 0.084 g of SrCl$_2$ in 15 mL water and adjusted the pH to 11.9 using NaOH. A similar procedure was followed as for BaCO$_3$ as described above. Optical microscopy and SEM were used to analyze the results and quantify the crystallization of the SrCO$_3$ on the CaCO$_3$ mixture (Fig. SI 3).
Figure SI 3. Analysis of overgrowth of a CaCO₃ polymorph mixture with SrCO₃. (A) Number of CaCO₃ crystals as a function of the depth: calcite (left clear bar), aragonite (middle clear bar) and vaterite (right clear bar), and number of overgrown CaCO₃ crystals: calcite-SrCO₃ (left blue bar), aragonite-SrCO₃ (middle green bar) and vaterite-SrCO₃ (right red bar). (B) Polymorph overgrowth ratio $R_{Ba,x}$ based on data in Fig. SI 3A.

4. Overgrowth of a CaCO₃ polymorph mixture with BaCO₃/SiO₂ microstructures
In a 50 or 100 mL beaker, we dissolved 0.074 g of BaCl₂ and 0.016 g of Na₂SiO₃ in 15 mL water. To grow structures in the blossoming regime, the pH was adjusted to pH 11.9 whereas for growth in the curling regime the pH was adjusted to 11.1 (2). A previously prepared slide with a mixture of calcite, aragonite and vaterite (see above) was positioned at 90º in the solution such that half of the previously overgrown area was submerged in the solution. A petri dish was loosely placed on the beaker such that CO₂ from the air could enter the beaker. After ca. 45 – 120 min, the slide was removed from the solution, washed twice with water and then washed with acetone and dried in the air. Optical microscopy and SEM were used to analyze the results.

5. Overgrowth of a CaCO₃ polymorph mixture with CaCO₃/PAA microstructures
In a 50 or 100 mL beaker, we dissolved 0.032 g of CaCl₂ and between 60 and 120 μg/mL poly (α, L-aspartate) (Sigma Aldrich) in 15 mL water. A previously prepared slide with a mixture of calcite, aragonite and vaterite (see above) was positioned under positioned at 90º in the solution such that half of the previously overgrown area was submerged. The beaker was placed in a closed desiccator with freshly ground (NH₄)₂CO₃ and placed in an oven at 45 °C. After ca. 45 – 120 min, the slide was removed from the solution, washed twice with water and then washed with acetone and dried in the air. Optical microscopy, Raman microscopy and SEM were used to analyze the slide.

6. FIB/TEM procedure
Samples were coated with Au (~5 nm) to reduce charging effects prior to focused ion beam (FIB) milling with a Helios Nanolab 660 Dual Beam electron microscope (FEI, OR). Typical TEM sample preparation procedure was as follows: 1) a platinum protective layer (~0.5 μm) was first laid down on top of the desired structure; 2) another platinum protective layer (~1.5 μm) was further deposited on top of the rectangular region where the TEM slab was to be milled out; 3) two sides of the coated structure, were milled away by FIB, leaving the slab of specimen (thickness: ~1.5 μm); 4) the slab was then cut through by FIB and transferred to a copper TEM grid by an Omniprobe and welded securely with platinum deposition; 5) the lift-out lamellar of specimen was
sequentially thinned by FIB at 30, 16, 5, and 2 kV ion beam voltages. Final cleaning at 2 kV and 28 pA is important to obtain a clean surface and minimize damage. TEM imaging was carried out using a JEOL 2011 operated at 120 kV.

7. Crystal structure Analysis

### Table SI 1: Crystallographic information for various CaCO₃ polymorphs, BaCO₃ and SrCO₃.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>Polymorph (Common name)</th>
<th>Crystal system</th>
<th>Space group</th>
<th>a/Å</th>
<th>b/Å</th>
<th>c/Å</th>
<th>α/(°)</th>
<th>β/(°)</th>
<th>γ/(°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CaCO₃</td>
<td>Calcite</td>
<td>Trigonal</td>
<td>P3c</td>
<td>4.988</td>
<td>4.988</td>
<td>17.061</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aragonite</td>
<td>Orthorhombic</td>
<td>Pmcn</td>
<td>4.961</td>
<td>7.967</td>
<td>5.741</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vaterite</td>
<td>Hexagonal</td>
<td>P63/mmc</td>
<td>7.290</td>
<td>7.290</td>
<td>25.302</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BaCO₃</td>
<td>Witherite</td>
<td>Orthorhombic</td>
<td>Pmcn</td>
<td>5.313</td>
<td>8.896</td>
<td>6.428</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SrCO₃</td>
<td>Strontianite</td>
<td>Orthorhombic</td>
<td>Pmcn</td>
<td>5.090</td>
<td>8.358</td>
<td>5.997</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Calcite/BaCO₃**

Based on TEM/diffraction studies (Fig. 2 and Fig. SI 4), the c-axes of the two types of crystals are aligned. Diffraction results also suggest that the (10̅10) planes of calcite are aligned with (010) planes of BaCO₃. Although it was not explicitly stated, similar diffraction results were obtained by Wu et al. (3, 4) for the cases of Ca-doped SrCO₃ and BaCO₃ on the substrate of calcite, respectively.

**Fig. SI 4.** Structural analysis of calcite/BaCO₃ interface. (A) Top-view SEM image of the original calcite crystal overgrown with BaCO₃ crystals. The white line indicates the location and orientation of the TEM sample prepared via FIB milling. (B) Overview TEM image of the overgrowth of multiple BaCO₃ crystals (red regions) on calcite (yellow region). The top portions of BaCO₃ crystals were milled away during FIB polishing. (C) A representative TEM image of BaCO₃/calcite interface. Selected area electron diffraction patterns of underlying calcite substrate and overgrown BaCO₃ crystal acquired from regions in (C).

**Aragonite/BaCO₃**

TEM analysis showed that the crystallographic axes of BaCO₃ and aragonite are aligned (Fig. 2 and Fig. SI 5).
Fig. SI 5. Structural analysis of aragonite/BaCO₃ interface. (A) Top-view SEM image of the original aragonite crystal overgrown with BaCO₃ crystals. The white line indicates the location and orientation of the TEM sample prepared via FIB milling. (B) Overview TEM image of the overgrowth of BaCO₃ crystals (red regions) on aragonite (blue region). (C) A representative TEM image of BaCO₃/aragonite interface. Selected area electron diffraction patterns of underlying aragonite substrate and overgrown BaCO₃ crystal acquired from regions in (C).

Vaterite/BaCO₃
The vaterite crystals have their c-axis perpendicular to the microplates and the a-axis is parallel to the growth direction as shown in the hexagonal-shaped crystal used for TEM imaging shown in Fig. 2A, column iv and Fig. SI 6. This has been observed in a previous report (5). Electron diffraction studies in multiple locations at the vaterite/BaCO₃ interface do not show a consistent correlation for the crystal orientations in vaterite and BaCO₃.

Fig. SI 6. Structural analysis of vaterite/BaCO₃ interface. (A) Top-view SEM image of the original vaterite crystal overgrown with BaCO₃ crystals. The white line indicates the location and orientation of the TEM sample prepared via FIB milling. (B) Overview TEM image of the
overgrowth of BaCO₃ crystals (red regions) on vaterite (blue region). (C) A representative TEM image of BaCO₃/vaterite interface. Selected area electron diffraction patterns of vaterite and overgrown BaCO₃ crystals acquired from regions in (C).

8. Calculations of crystal lattice mismatch

Based on the structural analysis in the previous session, we are able to determine the lattice mismatch between overgrowth crystals (BaCO₃ and SrCO₃) and different CaCO₃ polymorph crystals (calcite and aragonite) as substrates (Fig. SI 7). Here we adopt a similar approach reported by Pokroy and Zolotoyabko (6) in estimating lattice mismatches in both $a$ and $b$ directions. For the case of aragonite as a substrate, the mismatch $M^a$ and $M^b$ can be calculated according to the equations below

$$M^a = \frac{a_{overgrowth} - a_{substrate}}{a_{substrate}}$$

and

$$M^b = \frac{b_{overgrowth} - b_{substrate}}{b_{substrate}}$$

The average lattice mismatch is defined as

$$|\vec{M}| = (|M^a| + |M^b|)/2$$

For the case of calcite as a substrate, which is a rhombohedral crystal, the equivalent $b^*$ of the orthorhombic unit cell (Fig. SI 5) is

$$b^* = \sqrt{3}a$$

Then the lattice mismatch can be calculated by using the same relationships above by replacing $b$ with $b^*$.

The calculated mismatch values are tabulated in Table SI 2. It is noted that for both overgrowth of BaCO₃ and SrCO₃, the lattice mismatch in both $a$ and $b$ directions is slightly smaller for the case of calcite as the substrate in comparison to the case of aragonite as the substrate.

Table SI 2: Crystal lattice mismatch between substrate (calcite and aragonite) and overgrowth (BaCO₃ and SrCO₃) crystals. The relevant parameters are defined in the text.
9. Derivation of equation 2

For the derivation of equation 2, we start by defining a rate equation for the local carbonate concentration $[CO_3^{2-}]_{local}$ from the dissolution of the different calcium carbonate polymorphs $CaCO_3x$. According to Plummer et al. (7,8) the dissolution rate $v_{net}$ of $CaCO_3$ can be described as:

$$v_{net} = k_1a_{H^+} + k_2a_{HCO_3^-} + k_3a_{H_2O} - k_4a_{Ca^{2+}}a_{HCO_3^-}$$

(1)

where $a_x$ denotes the bulk solution activity of species $x$ and $k_1$, $k_2$, $k_3$, and $k_4$ are rate constants. A modified version of the Plummer et al. model also contains the dissolution/precipitation of calcite and aragonite (9,10). According to this modified model the dissolution of calcite in three regions of pH is controlled by the following reactions:

$$CaCO_3 + H^+ \rightleftharpoons HCO_3^-$$
$$CaCO_3 + H_2CO_3 \rightleftharpoons Ca^{2+} + 2HCO_3^-$$
$$CaCO_3 \rightleftharpoons Ca^{2+} + CO_3^{2-}$$

(2)

For pH $> 7$ a rate reaction equation was derived from the Plummer model describing the dissolution rate (10-12):

$$v_{net} = k_x - k'_x[Ca^{2+}]_s[CO_3^{2-}]_s$$

(3)

where $k'_x = k_x/K_{sp-CaCO_3x}$ with the solubility product $K_{sp-CaCO_3x}$, and $k_x$ is the dissolution rate constant of CaCO3 which depends on the surface morphology of the dissolving crystal and on the specific polymorph $x$. The subscript $s$ denotes the concentrations in the surface layers near the dissolving calcium carbonate crystal. The values for $k'_x$ and $k_x$ correspond to the values of $k_3$ and $k_4$ in the Plummer model. When we use $k_x$ in equation 3 for the specific polymorphs of calcium carbonate, then we can assume $k_{vaterite} > k_{aragonite} > k_{calcite}$ and $K_{sp,vaterite} > K_{sp,aragonite} > K_{sp,calcite}$. Please note that for the regime we consider the dissolution rate is positive, i.e. $k_x > k_x/K_{sp-CaCO_3x}[Ca^{2+}][CO_3^{2-}]$.

Using this expression for the dissolution rate of calcium carbonate, we can now formulated the local concentration of $CO_3^{2-}$ in time during the nucleation of $BaCO_3$, while the underlying $CaCO_3$ crystal is dissolving and additional influx of $CO_3^{2-}$ occurs from the bulk solution. When we assume that the contribution of reverse reactions is very
small and we do not consider diffusion, the rate equation for the carbonate concentration around the nucleating BaCO$_3$ crystal [$CO_3^{2-}]_{local}$ can be expressed as:

$$\frac{d[CO_3^{2-}]_{local}}{dt} = k_{\text{influx}}[CO_3^{2-}]_{\text{bulk}} + k_x - k_s[Ca^{2+}]_s[CO_3^{2-}]_s - k_{\text{BaCO}_3}[Ba^{2+}][CO_3^{2-}]_{local} \quad (4)$$

where $k_{\text{influx}}$ is a rate constant describing the influx of $CO_3^{2-}$ from the bulk solution towards the nucleating BaCO$_3$ crystal, and $k_{\text{BaCO}_3}$ the crystallization rate constant. The dissolution term for $CaCO_3$ is described by equation (3). Since the growth of the crystal is relatively slow compared to the diffusion of $[CO_3^{2-}]_{local}$, we can assume a steady state in $[CO_3^{2-}]_{local}$:

$$\frac{d[CO_3^{2-}]_{local}}{dt} = 0 \quad (5)$$

Furthermore, we can assume $[CO_3^{2-}]_{local} = [CO_3^{2-}]_{s}$ since nucleation of BaCO$_3$ occurs on the surface of the CaCO$_3$, such that equation (4) changes into:

$$k_{\text{influx}}[CO_3^{2-}]_{\text{bulk}} = -k_x + k_x[Ca^{2+}]_s[CO_3^{2-}]_s + k_{\text{BaCO}_3}[Ba^{2+}][CO_3^{2-}]_{local} \quad (6)$$

$$\frac{k_{\text{influx}}[CO_3^{2-}]_{\text{bulk}}}{[CO_3^{2-}]_{local}} = k_{\text{BaCO}_3}[Ba^{2+}] - k_x + k_x[Ca^{2+}]_s \quad (7)$$

$$[CO_3^{2-}]_{local} = \frac{k_{\text{influx}}[CO_3^{2-}]_{\text{bulk}}}{k_{\text{BaCO}_3}[Ba^{2+}] - k_x + k_x[Ca^{2+}]_s} \quad (8)$$

with $k_{\text{BaCO}_3}$ the crystallization rate constant of BaCO$_3$. The formation of BaCO$_3$ under supersaturated conditions is described by:

$$\frac{d[BaCO_3]}{dt} = k_{\text{BaCO}_3}[Ba^{2+}][CO_3^{2-}]_{local} \quad (9)$$

Substitution of (8) into (9) gives:

$$\frac{d[BaCO_3]}{dt} = \frac{k_{\text{BaCO}_3}[Ba^{2+}]k_{\text{influx}}[CO_3^{2-}]_{\text{bulk}}}{k_{\text{BaCO}_3}[Ba^{2+}] - k_x + k_x[Ca^{2+}]_s} \quad (10)$$

The free energy of the solution per molecule is (13,14):

$$\Delta g_{sol} = -k_B T \ln K_{sp-BaCO_3} \quad (11)$$

The change in chemical potential is given by (13,14):

$$\Delta \mu = k_B T \ln \left( \frac{[Ba^{2+}][CO_3^{2-}]_{local}}{K_{sp-BaCO_3}} \right) \quad (12)$$

and the supersaturation $\sigma$ can be written as:
\[
\sigma = \ln\left( \frac{[Ba^{2+}][CO_3^{2-}]_{\text{local}}}{K_{sp-BaCO_3}} \right) \tag{13}
\]

where \(k_B\) is the Boltzmann constant, \(K_{sp-BaCO_3}\) is the solubility product of BaCO_3 and \(T\) is the absolute temperature.

Since \([CO_3^{2-}]_{\text{local}}\) depends on \([CO_3^{2-}]_{\text{bulk}}, [Ba^{2+}], \) and \([Ca^{2+}]\)s (see Eq. 8), it is useful to substitute Eq. 8 into Eq. 13 and set \(k_{influx}\) to unity. Furthermore, we use the relation for the equilibrium constant \(K_c\):

\[
K_c = \frac{k_{BaCO_3}}{k_\text{diss}} = \frac{[BaCO_3]_\text{eq}}{[Ba^{2+}]_\text{eq}[CO_3^{2-}]_\text{eq}}, \quad \text{with} \quad k_\text{diss} \quad \text{the dissolution rate constant of BaCO_3.}
\]

By substituting \(K_{sp-BaCO_3} = [Ba^{2+}]_\text{eq}[CO_3^{2-}]_\text{eq}\) and setting the activity of the solid \([BaCO_3]_\text{eq}\) and \(k_\text{diss}\) to unity leads to: \(K_{sp-BaCO_3} \approx \frac{1}{k_{BaCO_3}}\), such that the supersaturation can be written as:

\[
\sigma = \ln\left( \frac{k_{BaCO_3}[Ba^{2+}][CO_3^{2-}]_{\text{bulk}}}{k_{BaCO_3}[Ba^{2+}] - k_x + k_x[Ca^{2+}]_\text{bulk}} \right).
\tag{14}
\]
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