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ABSTRACT: The evaluation of the stability of emerging earth-abundant metal phosphide electrocatalysts by solely electrochemical current–potential sweeps is often not conclusive. In this study, we investigated Co$_2$P to evaluate its stability under both acidic (0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$) and alkaline (1.0 M KOH) hydrogen evolution (HER) conditions. We found that the electrochemical surface area (ECSA) of Co$_2$P only slightly increased in acidic conditions but almost doubled after electrolysis in alkaline electrolyte. The surface composition of the electrode remained almost unchanged in acid but was significantly altered in alkaline during current–potential sweeps. Analysis of the electrolytes after the stability test shows almost stoichiometric composition of Co and P in acid, but a preferential dissolution of P over Co could be observed in alkaline electrolyte. Applying comprehensive postcatalysis analysis of both the electrode and electrolyte, we conclude that Co$_2$P, prepared by thermal phosphidization, dissolves stoichiometrically in acid and degrades to hydroxides under alkaline stability testing.

The development of clean, renewable, and affordable energy is a global challenge nowadays. It has been recognized that extensive use of fossil fuels is not suitable to base a sustainable society on. Solar, wind, and other green energy sources are considered as promising alternatives to the conventional fossil energy source. However, those sources are often highly intermittent. Thus, large-scale energy storage is crucial for achieving practical renewable electricity-to-grid integration.

Hydrogen is regarded as the ideal energy carrier because of its high gravimetric energy density and its clean combustion product. Currently, H$_2$ is mainly obtained through steam reforming of methane, which still consumes fossil fuels and emits CO$_2$. An alternative renewable way to produce hydrogen is water electrolysis, where hydrogen is produced at the cathode and oxygen at the anode. Although platinum is the best-performing and best-studied catalyst for the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER), the scarcity and high cost of Pt limit its large-scale application. The search for earth-abundant, noncritical electrocatalysts to replace Pt has triggered significant research efforts. A range of transition metal-based materials such as metal chalcogenides, selenides, carbides, and phosphides as well as their alloys has emerged as earth-abundant electrocatalysts for HER in either acidic or alkaline in recent years. Among them, transition metal phosphides (TMPs), including nickel phosphides, cobalt phosphides, iron phosphides, molybdenum phosphides, tungsten phosphides, and their alloys, have been discovered to be active in HER electrocatalysis. Nonetheless, little work has systematically studied the stability of these earth-abundant electrocatalysts, and the degradation mechanisms are still ambiguous.

Most TMPs are claimed stable based on electrochemical stability tests such as repeated linear/cyclic voltammetry or chronoamperometry/chronopotentiometry. However, postelectrolysis analysis typically shows significant compositional, structural, and morphological changes. For example, TMPs synthesized by thermal phosphidization showed an apparent electrochemical stability along with distinct compositional changes.

Here, we used cobalt phosphide (Co$_2$P) prepared by thermal phosphidization as a model electrocatalyst to elucidate its decomposition mechanism during electrochemical stability testing. We investigated the stabilities in both acid and alkaline electrolytes and compared the structural, compositional, and morphological changes induced by the stability tests. Our results show that Co$_2$P is stable in neither acidic nor alkaline electrolytes and that the degradation mechanism is pH-dependent. While Co$_2$P stoichiometrically dissolves in acid, it...
tends to form hydroxides under alkaline electrolysis conditions. Interestingly, the observed apparent electrochemical stability of Co,P in alkaline is attributed to the increased electrochemical surface area (ECSA). From our studies, we emphasize the importance of postcatalysis structural and compositional analysis of both the electrode and electrolyte to characterize the intrinsic stability of earth-abundant electrocatalysts.

Thermally phosphidized cobalt phosphate on carbon paper (CP) was assessed by XRD to verify its crystal phase composition. The X-ray diffractogram of the as-synthesized electrode (Figure S1, black line) shows the pattern of Co2P. The diffraction peaks at θ = 40.8, 43.3, 48.7, 50.3, and 52.1° are indexed to the (121), (211), (031), (310), and (002) planes of Co2P (PDF No.: 00-032-0306), respectively. The Raman spectrum of the as-synthesized Co2P sample (Figure S2, black line) is consistent with literature.

The activities and stabilities of Co2P@CP in both acidic (0.5 M H2SO4) and alkaline (1 M KOH) solutions are shown in Figure 1. The currents are normalized to the geometric surface area of the electrodes. Co2P@CP shows excellent catalytic activity in both acidic and alkaline electrolytes (red and blue solid lines) and reaches geometric current densities of 10 mA/cm2 at overpotentials of only ca. 0.12 and 0.07 V, respectively. The activity of a Pt disk electrode is also shown for comparison.

As shown in Figure 3a, the Co 2p spectra of the fresh sample (black solid line) reveal a dominant component at BE(Co 2p3/2) = 778.9 eV, corresponding to Co in Co2P, and a shoulder at a higher binding energy (780.6 eV) assigned to oxidic Co. Meanwhile, two new peaks at BE(Co 2p3/2) = 782.1 and 786.3 eV appear. Although the peak at 782.1 eV has been reported to appear in several cobalt oxides and hydroxides, the main satellite peak at 786.3 eV is attributed to the asymmetric plasmon loss of metal phosphides and possible cobalt oxide species as a result of sample exposure to air.

After stability testing in acid, the Co 2p3/2 peaks remain almost unchanged. In contrast, significant changes are observed after the stability test in alkaline electrolyte: the peak assigned to Co increases, while the intensity of the component at BE(Co 2p3/2) = 780.6 eV assigned to oxidic Co decreases substantially, while the intensity of the component at BE(Co 2p3/2) = 780.6 eV assigned to oxidic Co increases. Meanwhile, two new peaks at BE(Co 2p3/2) = 782.1 and 786.3 eV appear. Although the peak at 782.1 eV has been reported to appear in several cobalt oxides and hydroxides, the main satellite peak at 786.3 eV suggests that the new phase is more likely Co(OH)2 or CoO rather than a higher valent CoOOH or Co3O4 phase. Quantitative analysis shows that the surface composition ratio of Co over all other Co species decreased from 4 to 0.5, indicating that approximately 60% of the Co2P surface of the fresh sample has been oxidized during the stability test in alkaline. To assess purely chemical dissolution in a nonwired configuration, control experiments were performed by immersing fresh Co2P@CP electrodes in both 0.5 M H2SO4 and 1 M KOH without applying a bias voltage for the same duration as stability.
tests (ca. 5 h). No obvious change of the Co 2p spectra (Figure S3a) could be observed between fresh and spent Co2P@CP electrodes after prolonged immersion in both acid and alkaline electrolytes.

The P 2p XPS spectra show two main peaks at binding energies of 129.6 and 130.5 eV (ratio 2:1), corresponding to the spin—orbit split doublet of phosphide 2p3/2 and 2p1/2 lines, respectively.16,17,27,35−37 The doublet at BE(P 2p3/2) = 131.1 eV and BE(P 2p1/2) = 131.9 eV is attributed to elementary P as a remainder from thermal phosphidization treatment.40,41 The doublet at 132.8 and 133.6 eV and the doublet at 134.2 and 135.1 eV are attributed to orthophosphate40−42 and metaphosphate,40,41 respectively. The phosphate components may result from either surface oxidation upon air exposure or residues from thermal decomposition of NaH2PO2. The total Co to P ratio of 0.33 indicates the surface of fresh Co2P being rich in P, which is typically observed for phosphides made by thermal phosphidization.32 The metaphosphate species is likely water-soluble because the metaphosphate component has disappeared during both acid and alkaline stability tests as well as in the acid and alkaline immersion control experiments (Figure S3 b). No other change except the disappearance of the metaphosphate species is observed after the stability test in acid. In contrast, the XPS intensity of phosphorus decreased dramatically after the stability test in alkaline. The total Co to P ratio increased up to 1.65, although the ratios of the different phosphorus species of the sample used in alkaline are similar to those of the sample after acid electrolysis.

The O 1s spectrum of the fresh sample displays two overlapping peaks at binding energies of 531.8 and 532.3 eV, where the former one can be probably assigned to a combination of orthophosphate42 and surface carbonate species,43 while the latter is attributed to oxygen in the metaphosphate.44 After stability tests in both acidic and alkaline electrolytes, the oxygen peak corresponding to metaphosphate disappears, in line with the disappearance of P 2p peaks of metaphosphate. After the stability test in alkaline, the main peak at 531.1 eV indicates the formation of a surface hydroxide,45,46 which is also consistent with the Pourbaix diagram of cobalt.45,46 The XPS survey spectra in Figure 3d rule out the possibility of Pt contamination from the counter electrode. K 2s and K 2p peaks after alkaline treatment result from the adsorption of K+ cations from the KOH electrolyte.

The significant surface composition change after stability tests in alkaline seems to contradict the relatively stable LSV curves shown in Figure 1. The morphology changes shown in the SEM images (especially for the sample tested in alkali) (insets in Figure 2) suggest that the change of surface area during the stability tests might have contributed to the explanation of this apparent contradiction. To confirm this hypothesis, we make use of the double layer capacitance method to evaluate the ECSA of the samples.47,48 The double layer capacitance Cdl was measured by scanning the potential around the open-circuit potential (OCP). The potential regions were carefully chosen to avoid interference of pseudocapacitances caused by surface redox reactions. As shown in Figures S4 and S5, the negative and positive current densities are approximately symmetric at each scan rate and the plot of log i versus scan rate is linear, where i is the geometric charging current density measured at the center of the scan range.

The ECSAs of Co2P@CP increase by 15% after the stability test in 0.5 M H2SO4, while a 100% increase is observed after the
stability test in 1.0 M KOH. Noting that this change is not reflected in geometric current densities as used in Figure 1, the ECSA-normalized current density should be used instead as a better method of determining the real catalytic activity and stability. Figure 4a gives the polarization curves from Figure 1 but now normalized to ECSA. The activity loss of Co2P@CP in acidic electrolyte is minor due to the minor ECSA change before and after the stability test. Tafel slopes remain unchanged (ca. 48 mV/dec in Figure 4 b), and the exchange current density (\(j_0\)) decreases from 1.25 \(\times\) 10\(^{-7}\) to 7.94 \(\times\) 10\(^{-8}\) mA/cm\(^2\)ECSA after the stability test. Meanwhile, significant activity loss is observed in alkaline solution due to a striking increase of ECSA after the stability test. The overpotential required to achieve 0.1 mA/cm\(^2\)ECSA current density increased from 70 to 96 mV after the stability test. Tafel slopes increased from 59.7 to 64.9 mV/dec, and \(j_0\) decreases from 6.31 \(\times\) 10\(^{-6}\) to 3.72 \(\times\) 10\(^{-6}\) mA/cm\(^2\)ECSA after the stability test.

The electrolytes after stability tests and control immersion experiments were collected and analyzed by ICP-OES (Figure 5). After the stability test in acidic electrolyte (volume: 25 mL), the concentrations of dissolved Co and P per mg of Co2P@CP were determined to be 25.7 \(\pm\) 4.0 and 12.0 \(\pm\) 1.9 \(\mu\)mol/L, respectively. The control immersion experiment shows concentrations of Co and P of 18.5 \(\pm\) 1.5 and 5.6 \(\pm\) 0.3 \(\mu\)mol/L, respectively. Although the concentrations of both Co and P in the immersion experiment are lower than those after the stability test, chemical dissolution contributes significantly to Co2P degradation in acid. In alkaline electrolyte, the degradation mechanism of Co2P is completely different.

![Figure 4](image-url) **Figure 4.** (a) Polarization curves (normalized by ECSA) of Co2P@CP before (solid lines) and after 2000 LSV sweeps (dashed lines) in 0.5 M H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) and 1 M KOH; (b) corresponding Tafel plots of Co2P@CP before and after stability tests.

![Figure 5](image-url) **Figure 5.** ICP-OES analysis of Co and P ion dissolution concentration in the electrolyte during the stability tests and the corresponding nonelectrochemical control immersion experiments.

Concentration of phosphorus (24.5 \(\pm\) 0.5 \(\mu\)mol/L) is much higher than that of cobalt (2.3 \(\pm\) 0.5 \(\mu\)mol/L) after the stability test in alkaline because of the very low solubility of oxidic cobalt species in alkaline solutions. For the control immersion experiment, the leaching concentrations of both species are much lower, with 0.28 \(\pm\) 0.02 \(\mu\)mol/L for Co and 4.0 \(\pm\) 0.9 \(\mu\)mol/L for P, as compared with those after the stability test. With this, we conclude that Co2P degradation in alkaline is mainly induced by the LSV sweeps. The stability of non-noble-metal-based electrocatalysts in acid has been elaborately studied by monitoring the concentration of metal ions with online ICP-MS.\(^{49}\) The conclusion is that the nonmetal materials are stable at HER potentials but dissolve substantially at OCP. However, merely monitoring the leaching concentration of metal ions and assuming stoichiometric dissolution of nonmetal elements is not sufficient when assessing the stability of electrocatalysts in alkaline solutions. As we show here, P is preferentially leached out of the film, and Co turns to hydroxide.\(^{50}\)

In order to increase the apparent activity of earth-abundant electrocatalysts, a large amount of materials is usually loaded on a porous conductive substrate such as CP or metal foam. However, caution should be exercised in electrochemical stability testing. First, the possible variation of ECSA during stability testing. First, the possible variation of ECSA during stability test is often ignored. In the case of Co2P@CP, the ECSA increases by a factor of 2 after 2000 LSV sweeps. The stability of non-noble-metal-based electrocatalysts in acid has been elaborately studied by monitoring the concentration of metal ions with online ICP-MS.\(^{49}\) The conclusion is that the nonmetal materials are stable at HER potentials but dissolve substantially at OCP. However, merely monitoring the leaching concentration of metal ions and assuming stoichiometric dissolution of nonmetal elements is not sufficient when assessing the stability of electrocatalysts in alkaline solutions. As we show here, P is preferentially leached out of the film, and Co turns to hydroxide.\(^{50}\)

In order to increase the apparent activity of earth-abundant electrocatalysts, a large amount of materials is usually loaded on a porous conductive substrate such as CP or metal foam. However, caution should be exercised in electrochemical stability testing. First, the possible variation of ECSA during stability testing. First, the possible variation of ECSA during stability test is often ignored. In the case of Co2P@CP, the ECSA increases by a factor of 2 after 2000 LSV sweeps. The stability of non-noble-metal-based electrocatalysts in acid has been elaborately studied by monitoring the concentration of metal ions with online ICP-MS.\(^{49}\) The conclusion is that the nonmetal materials are stable at HER potentials but dissolve substantially at OCP. However, merely monitoring the leaching concentration of metal ions and assuming stoichiometric dissolution of nonmetal elements is not sufficient when assessing the stability of electrocatalysts in alkaline solutions. As we show here, P is preferentially leached out of the film, and Co turns to hydroxide.\(^{50}\)

In conclusion, we evaluated the stability of Co2P, made by thermal phosphidization, as HER electrocatalyst in both acidic and alkaline electrolytes. With detailed electrochemical and spectroscopic characterization before and after stability testing, we conclude that Co2P degradation follows two different routes in acid and alkaline. In acid, both Co and P dissolve, but the remaining exposed Co2P surface is still active for HER. In
alkaline, P is preferentially dissolved while the remaining cobalt on the surface turns to hydroxide and the HER activity decreases accordingly. We note that TMPs prepared by different methods may have different dissolution mechanisms. However, on the basis of our results, we stress that the constancy of current—potential curves alone during the stability test does not unambiguously confirm electrode stability. Only comprehensive postcatalysis structural and compositional analysis of both the electrode and electrolyte could reflect the intrinsic stability, as well as the degradation mechanism of earth-abundant electrocatalysts.
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