Extreme small-scale clustering of droplets in turbulence driven by hydrodynamic interactions
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We perform three-dimensional particle tracking measurements on droplets in a turbulent airflow. The droplets display the well-known preferential concentration of inertial particles, with an additional extreme clustering at the smallest scales. We explain this additional clustering phenomenon theoretically based on a Stokes-flow description of two spheres including their mutual hydrodynamic interaction and a perturbative small-inertia expansion.
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Small, heavy particles in a turbulent flow display clustering [1–9]. This process, known as preferential concentration, enhances collision probability and can, thereby, for example, accelerate coalescent growth of raindrops [10–12]. Here we show with experiments that droplets in a turbulent airflow display an additional and quantitatively highly significant clustering effect at length scales even smaller than the smallest flow length scale (the Kolmogorov length) due to hydrodynamic interaction (HI), thus further enhancing the collision probability. We rationalize this observation with an analytical study employing the Stokes-flow description of two interacting tracer particles [13,14] with a perturbative expansion of inertial effects [5], revealing that the observed small-scale clustering is indeed a result of hydrodynamic interaction. Inertial particles in turbulence are typically modeled disregarding hydrodynamic interactions entirely, or at best by parametrizing the well-known Stokes solution for viscous flow past a sphere to emulate the resulting disturbance flow on a test particle [15–17]. However, the latter approach disregards the proper boundary condition on the particles and so does not realistically represent the near-field flow at all. Current models for precipitation formation underpredict the speed of raindrop growth [10–12]. The extra clustering described here significantly enhances the collision probability; adoption of this effect in the models will result in more accurate predictions.

Droplet clustering can be quantified with the radial distribution function (RDF) \( g(r) \) [18], a measure of the probability of finding a particle at a separation \( r \) from a test particle in comparison to that probability in a uniform distribution, for which \( g = 1 \). Higher values \( g(r) > 1 \) indicate clustering. The RDF for weakly inertial non-interacting particles in turbulence is a power law, \( g \propto r^{-\alpha} \), with exponent \( \alpha \) a function of the turbulent flow and particle properties [5]. This power-law behavior is confirmed in direct numerical simulations (DNS) [19] and experiments [6,8]. However, our current experiment using three-dimensional droplet tracking allows us to determine the RDF to considerably smaller separations \( r \) than before, leading to the observation of an additional clustering mechanism which is not modeled in the DNS and not resolved in earlier experiments.

In the experiments turbulence is generated in a soccer-ball-shaped chamber, inspired by Refs. [20–22], with a mean diameter of 1 m (Fig. 1). Twenty loudspeakers are mounted in the hexagonal plates of the truncated icosahedron; the 12 pentagons are used for optical access and mounting. The loudspeakers drive synthetic jets which create tunable turbulence with good homogeneity and isotropy in the center; speaker pairs move in antiphase.

FIG. 1. Turbulence chamber with 20 loudspeakers to drive the turbulent airflow. Four cameras [top left, bottom left, top right, and bottom right (behind the bar)] are mounted in front of windows to track the droplet motion; laser illumination is provided through the lower central window.
to prevent pressurizing. The turbulence is characterized with particle image velocimetry, and dedicated postprocessing [23] is used to obtain the dissipation rate $\epsilon$, the Taylor-scale Reynolds number $Re_\lambda$, and the Kolmogorov length ($\eta$) and time ($\tau_\eta$) scales. Three flow settings are used here, detailed in Table I.

Water droplets are added with a spinning-disk aerosol generator [22,24,25]. This device produces many droplets with a narrow size distribution, measured using interferometric particle imaging [26]. Three different droplet radii $a$ are applied here: $a = 7$, 10, and 21 $\mu$m. Table I lists the widths of the distributions of $a$ and the corresponding Stokes numbers $St = \tau_p/\tau_\eta$, the relaxation time $\tau_p = \tau_\eta \rho a^2/\mu$ with $\rho$ the density of the droplets (water) and $\mu$ the dynamic viscosity of air. The Stokes number quantifies the importance of inertial forces on the droplet motion; ideal flow tracers have $St = 0$. It is customary to use the settling factor $SF = \tau_p a_g/a_g$, with $a_g$ the gravitational acceleration and $u_g = \eta/\tau_\eta$ the Kolmogorov velocity, to quantify the relative importance of gravity [27,28]. In our current experiments we obtain values $0.05 < SF < 1.3$, implying that the role of gravity is small compared to that of turbulence [27–29]. In particular, $SF_{rms} = \tau_p a_g/a_g \ll 1$, where $a_g$ is the root-mean-square (rms) flow velocity. The volume fraction of droplets is at most $\phi_v \approx 3 \times 10^{-3}$ for some experiments with the largest droplets and smaller otherwise, meaning that the experimental conditions are dilute: the so-called one-way coupling regime [9,30] where dynamics of particles can be globally described disregarding particle-particle interactions and particles do not significantly affect the turbulence.

We apply particle tracking velocimetry to the droplets in a central volume of approximate dimensions $25 \times 25 \times 25$ mm$^3$. This volume is illuminated with a pulsed neodymium-doped yttrium lithium fluoride (Nd:YLF) laser (Spectra-Physics Empower 45, frequency 1 kHz) with an expanded beam guided through the measurement volume three times using mirrors. Four cameras (Photron FastcamX-1024PCI, resolution 1 Mpixel, frequency 1 kHz) record the motion of the droplets in the measurement volume. The particle tracking velocimetry algorithm developed at ETH Zürich (Switzerland) [31–33] is applied to recover 3D droplet trajectories. Subsequent cubic polynomial smoothing [33] reduces measurement noise considerably. The median position displacement due to filtering is considerably less than 1 pixel size.

3D droplet position snapshots are reproduced from the trajectories, restricting the interrogation volume $V$ to a central sphere of radius 10 mm. These snapshots are used to calculate the RDF (by binning of droplet pairs according to their separation distance) as [6]

$$g(r_i) = \frac{N_i/\Delta V_i}{N/V},$$

where $N_i$ is the number of pairs found with separation $r_i = \Delta r_i/2$, $\Delta V_i$ the intersection volume of the spherical shell (with mean radius $r_i$ around the reference droplet and exponentially increasing thickness $\Delta r_i$) and $V, N$ the total number of pairs within $V$, and $i$ the bin index. The total number of detected particle pairs in a given experiment varies between $8 \times 10^3$ for $a = 21$ $\mu$m and $Re_\lambda = 314$ and $5 \times 10^6$ for $a = 10$ $\mu$m and $Re_\lambda = 155$. The number of bins (and hence the bin width) per experiment is chosen based on the number of detected pairs; when less droplet pairs are detected it is required to apply wider bins to attain converged RDFs. Measurements last between 150 and 700 integral timescales, $T = \frac{2}{3}(u')^2/\epsilon$; details on duration, statistical convergence, and computation of the RDF error bars are given in the Supplemental Material [34].

The measured RDFs are plotted in Fig. 2. Note that the horizontal axis is normalized with droplet radius $a$ rather than the Kolmogorov length $\eta$ as is customary; this choice supports the analysis of the hydrodynamic interaction terms which are series expansions in terms of $a/r$. Each RDF curve displays a dropoff at the largest separations $r$, resembling the results of Ref. [8], where this effect is attributed to imperfect large-scale mixing of droplets. At intermediate $r$ we recover the well-known power-law scaling. At small $r$, however, all RDFs reach values which are up to 2 orders of magnitude higher than expected from the pure power law. The main reason that we are the first to report this effect and raise the awareness of the role of hydrodynamic interaction is that the current measurement technique gives access to resolved statistics at smaller separations $r$ than in earlier experiments [6,8] given the long averaging times [34]. In the current experiment we can confidently resolve droplet separations down to at least

### Table I. Turbulence and droplet characteristics. Included are the Taylor-scale Reynolds number $Re_\lambda$, dissipation rate $\epsilon$, Kolmogorov length $\eta$, Kolmogorov time $\tau_\eta$, and the Stokes numbers $St$ for the three different droplet sizes. Error intervals denote 1 standard deviation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$Re_\lambda$</th>
<th>$\epsilon$ (m$^2$/s$^3$)</th>
<th>$\eta$ (mm)</th>
<th>$\tau_\eta$ (ms)</th>
<th>Corresponding St</th>
<th>Droplet radius $a$ ($\mu$m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>155</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.01</td>
<td>7.1 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>229</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.01</td>
<td>10.0 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>314</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.03</td>
<td>20.7 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$SF = \tau_p a_g/a_g$, with $a_g$ the gravitational acceleration and $u_g = \eta/\tau_\eta$ the Kolmogorov velocity, to quantify the relative importance of gravity [27,28]. In our current experiments we obtain values $0.05 < SF < 1.3$, implying that the role of gravity is small compared to that of turbulence [27–29]. In particular, $SF_{rms} = \tau_p a_g/a_g \ll 1$, where $a_g$ is the root-mean-square (rms) flow velocity. The volume fraction of droplets is at most $\phi_v \approx 3 \times 10^{-3}$ for some experiments with the largest droplets and smaller otherwise, meaning that the experimental conditions are dilute: the so-called one-way coupling regime [9,30] where dynamics of particles can be globally described disregarding particle-particle interactions and particles do not significantly affect the turbulence.
FIG. 2. Measured RDFs $g(r)$ for the three droplet radii: (a) $a = 7 \mu m$, (b) $a = 10 \mu m$, (c) $a = 21 \mu m$. Curves for different Re are shifted vertically for clarity: black circles (Re$_0$ = 155) are at their true height, red squares (Re$_0$ = 229) shifted up by half a decade, blue triangles (Re$_0$ = 314) by a full decade. The dashed lines are fits of Eq. (2) to the curves (with $c_2 = 0$ as explained in the text). Error bars denote intervals of 1 standard deviation; the green vertical dashed line indicates $r_c \approx 60 \mu m$ below which cross talk in bin counting due to positioning uncertainty may affect individual bin statistics (more details on these issues are given in the Supplemental Material [34]).

$r/\eta = 0.2$ in all cases except for at Re$_0$ = 314 and St = 0.49 ($r/\eta \geq 1$) or 4.38 ($r/\eta \geq 0.6$), where the smaller number of detected pairs significantly reduces statistical convergence at the smallest $r$. Previous works [6,8] could only report converged data down to $r/\eta \approx 1$. In fact, in hindsight there is preliminary evidence of a steeper scaling at small $r$ than at larger separations in the earlier holographic 3D measurement [6]. Previous studies considered clustering of electrically charged particles [39,40]; however, this effect cannot explain the currently observed trends in clustering [41]. We shall instead argue that the strong enhancement of the RDF is the effect of HI based on a theoretical description detailed in the Supplemental Material [34], summarized in what follows.

Consider two small spherical particles with radius $a \ll \eta$ in a turbulent flow. Their relaxation time $\tau_p$ is assumed small compared to the smallest turbulence timescale $\tau_\eta$, i.e., $\text{St} \ll 1$. The flow can then be described by a velocity gradient $\Gamma_{ij} = \partial U_i/\partial x_j$ constant in space and time, with $U_i$ the flow velocity in the $x_i$ direction in the undisturbed situation without the spheres. Since the velocities $v$ of the spheres will remain modest, their Reynolds numbers Re$_p = 2a v/\nu \ll 1$ ($\nu$ is the kinematic viscosity of air) and a Stokes flow description is warranted. The motion of two spheres in Stokes flow with constant $\Gamma_{ij}$ is a classical problem [42–46]. We add inertia as a per-particle force $F_i^{(\eta)} = \frac{\pi a^3 \rho_p}{15} d v_i^{(\eta)}/dt$ and torque $T_i^{(\eta)} = \frac{\pi a^5 \rho_p}{8} d \omega_i^{(\eta)}/dt$, with time $t$, angular velocity $\omega$, and $\eta = 1, 2$ a particle index for the interacting pair. The relative position $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{x}^{(2)} - \mathbf{x}^{(1)}$, relative velocity $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}^{(2)} - \mathbf{v}^{(1)}$, and total angular velocity $\omega = \omega^{(1)} + \omega^{(2)}$ are expanded in the small parameter St [5]: $\mathbf{r} = r^{(0)} + \text{St} r^{(1)} + \text{St}^2 r^{(2)} + \cdots$ and similar for $\mathbf{v}$ and $\omega$.

The viscous interaction terms have near-field ($r = |\mathbf{r}| \lesssim 3a$) and far-field ($r \gtrsim 3a$) series expansions [13], the latter of which we shall use given that the measurements are for this range only. The far-field expansion is a power series in $r/a$ with negative exponents, where only the dominant terms of order $(r/a)^{-\beta}$ with $\beta \in \{0, 1\}$ are retained. A drift-diffusion model [5,14] to include the effects of turbulence finally leads to the following expression for the RDF:

$$g(r) \propto \exp \left[ \frac{c_2}{6} \left( \frac{a}{r} \right)^6 \exp \left( \frac{c_2 \text{St}^2}{r} \right) \right], \quad (2)$$

where $c_{1,2,3}$ are constants which are dependent on the turbulence properties and on St. Term 1 of Eq. (2) represents the dominant far-field term for HI of finite-size noninertial particles leading to clustering, a result fully in line with Ref. [14]. Term 2 is a new result obtained here; it represents the extra clustering due to HI of inertial particles at small $r$. Note that both terms 1 and 2 reduce rapidly to 1 at large $r$; the HI terms are only active on relatively short distances $r$. Finally, term 3 represents the original power-law behavior derived for non-HI inertial particles [5]. The limit of non-HI inertial particles is correctly recovered by setting $c_2 = c_3 = 0$ (no HI terms).

To further substantiate and interpret these experimental and analytical results, we determine values of the coefficients $c_{1,2}$ as follows: we first fit the power-law term involving $c_1$ to the appropriate range in $r$, then fit to determine $c_2$ at that particular $c_1$. The term involving $c_3$ only contributes close to contact [14] (not accessible with
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The values of $c_1$ and $c_2$ are plotted in Fig. 3. Beginning
with $c_1$ [Fig. 3(a)], we compare the experimental results to
DNS (without HI) \cite{19,48}. As predicted by theory (without HI) \cite{5}, the DNS \cite{19,48} show that $c_1$ (as defined here) is independent of $St$ for small $St$ values. Reference \cite{19}
reports $c_1 = 6.6$ for $St \lesssim 0.3$ and $c_1 = 0.7St^{-2}$ for $St \gtrsim 0.3$. The results of Ref. \cite{48} can be parametrized as $c_1 = 0.75/(0.1 + St^3)$ (see also Ref. \cite{19}). The current experiments match these results, apart from the data point at $St = 0.09$. Curiously, this point displays more clustering than expected based on DNS and theory. It may well be that HI (excluded from the DNS and earlier theory) steepens the power-law scaling too. We do want to note that the low-St prediction for the original inertial clustering problem (without HI, which implies $c_1 \sim St^0$) to this date only has numerical validations, e.g., Refs. \cite{19,48}, but no direct experimental confirmation. Unfortunately, the range $St \ll 1$ is the hardest to access with experiments, given the complications of illuminating and detecting such small droplets. Furthermore, this droplet population displays the largest relative spread in terms of $St$; smearing out of effects sensitive to $St$ is unavoidable. For the highest $St$ the trend derived from the current experimental results is closer to $c_1 \propto St^{-2}$ than to $c_1 \propto St^{-3}$, thus favoring the high-$St$ trend observed in Ref. \cite{19} over that of Ref. \cite{48}. There are no signs of dependence on $Re_j$.

The measured $c_2$ [Fig. 3(b)] display a similar trend to $c_1$; approximate power-law scalings with exponents between $-2$ and $-3$. Thus, for increasing $St$ a gradual reduction of the importance of the clustering term $\exp(\alpha r^2 St^2 a/r)$ is expected. We cannot draw conclusions about the lowest $St = 0.09$, which is inconvenient given that the current theory is formally derived in the limit $St \ll 1$. However, given that the result of a power-law scaling for the RDF of non-interacting particles has been found to be appropriate at higher $St$ too, we expect that the current theory remains valid also at larger $St$ as it is based on the same propositions. So we speculate that we predominantly measure the large-$St$ branch of $c_2(St)$ here, where $c_2 \sim St^\alpha$ should scale with an exponent $\alpha \ll -2$ for the HI clustering to eventually vanish for large enough $St$. This is a plausible result considering that the weak hydrodynamic interaction velocity should be inconsequential for large and/or heavy enough particles (i.e., with large enough $St$). The dependence of $c_2$ on $St$ in the small-$St$ limit is definitely an important question, yet one that is hard to answer given the experimental challenges mentioned before. We finally remark that there are no signs of a significant dependence of $c_2$ on $Re_j$.

We have described a small-scale clustering mechanism for inertial particles in turbulence driven by HI. High-resolution position measurements of droplets in turbulence support this effect. The subsequent enhancement of droplet collision probabilities is of paramount importance for precipitation formation modeling. HI is typically treated exclusively as a repulsive term in models \cite{15,17,49,50} and in DNS studies of turbulent flows with point particles \cite{51,52,53}. The current study reveals that a more extensive description of HI is required to correctly incorporate the attractive effects into DNS and theoretical models to achieve a correct evaluation of droplet collision probabilities.
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